

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH • CITY OF BINGHAMTON

Teri Rennia, City Council President Angela Holmes, City Clerk

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA City Council Work Room, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton Monday February 4, 2013

The Work Session begins at 6:00pm. Times for RL(s)/Topics are approximate only and items may be considered earlier or later.

Time	Committee	Chair	RL(s)/Topic	Pages	Presenter
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-24: Various Budget Transfers in	2-9	
			the 2012 Public Works Budget to		
			Address Outstanding Obligations		
6:00pm	Finance	Webb	RL 13-31: Issuance of \$4,018,586 Serial	33-34	Charles Pearsall
			Bonds for 2013 Capital Projects		
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-33: Accepting \$200 Donation	35-38	
			from NYSEG for Fire Bureau		
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-29: Modification of 2013	15-22	
			Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage		
			Board Budget for Flood Recovery		
6:30pm			Reimbursement Funds Received		Eugene Hulbert
			Discussion: Proposal for Expediting	39-51	
			Payments through the Binghamton-		
			Johnson City Joint Sewage Board		
7:00pm			Discussion: NYS Audit of Binghamton	52-85	Carol Quinlivan
7.00pm			Youth Program Background Checks	32-03	Carol Quillivan
7:30pm			Discussion: Binghamton Human Rights		Sean Massey
7.50pm			Commission		Seatt Massey
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-17: Agreement with Metro	1	
			Interfaith for Contractual Services		
			Related to Binghamton		Steve Quinn, Tarik
7:45pm			Homeownership Academy		Abdelazim
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-28: Agreement with Metro	13-14	Abuciaziiii
			Interfaith to Serve as Binghamton		
			Homeownership Academy Coordinator		
8:00pm			Discussion: Review of Shade Tree		Amelia LoDolce, Tarik
3.00piii			Commission Regulations		Abdelazim
			RL 13-30: Agreement with Cornell		
8:15pm	Finance	Webb	Cooperative Extension for Energy	23-32	Tarik Abdelazim
			Leadership Program		



LEGISLATIVE BRANCH • CITY OF BINGHAMTON

Teri Rennia, City Council President Angela Holmes, City Clerk

Time	Committee	Chair	RL(s)/Topic	Pages	Presenter
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-25: Agreement with Fairview	10	
			Recovery Services, Inc. for Participation		
			in the Broome County ShelterNet		
			Homeless Management Information		
			System for \$1,720		
8:30pm	Finance	Webb	RL 13-26: Emergency Shelter Grant	11	Jennifer Taylor
			Supplemental Agreement with		
			Volunteers of America		
	Finance	Webb	RL 13-27: Emergency Shelter Grant	12	
		Agreement with Family Enrichment			
			Network for \$50,885		
			Discussion: Deed for MacArthur School		
			Discussion: Review Finalized Version of		
8:45pm			Proposed Amendment to Binghamton-		Kenneth J. Frank
			Johnson City Joint Sewage Treatment		
			Plant Intermunicipal Agreement		
			Discussion: Determining Council		
			Representative for Restore NY \$1 RFP		
			Selection Committee		Council President
9:00pm			Discussion: Local Law Amending		Rennia
			Appointment & Term of City Engineer		Nemila
			Discussion: Review of Committee		
			Reports & Pending Legislation		

COMMITTEE REPORTS

City Council Planning & Community Development Committee: Webb (Chair), Berg, Mihalko

RL 12-133, entitled "An Ordinance authorizing various amendments to sections 178, 265, and 410 of the Binghamton City Code, regarding Community Food Systems and Livestock".

City Council Employees Committee: Berg (Chair), Webb, Papastrat

Introductory Ordinance 10-9, entitled "An Ordinance limiting all non-union employees to the PPO-B health insurance plan effective January 1, 2011". Referred to Employees Committee on February 3, 2010.

City Council Rules & Procedures/Special Studies Committee: Berg (Chair), Motsavage, Papastrat Identifying alternative police patrol options.



City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by	: Caroline Quidort						
Title/Department:	Chief Planner / Ph	ICD					
Contact Information:	Contact Information: 7028 cpquidort@cityofbinghamton.com						
		RL Information					
Proposed Title:	A resolution author	izing the Mayor to	enter into an agr	eement with Metro-			
Interfaith for contractua	al services related to	the Binghamton H	ome Ownership /	Academy as part of the			
Community Challenge	Planning Grant (CCI	PG)					
Suggested Content:	To be drafted by C	orp. Counsel					
	Ad	ditional Informat	ion				
Does this RL concern g	rant funding?		Yes □	No ₪			
If 'Yes', is the required	RL Grant Workshee	et attached?	Yes □	No ₪			
Is additional informatio	n related to the RL a	attached?	Yes ⊠	No □			
Is RL related to previou	ısly adopted legislati	on?	Yes 🗷	No □			
If 'Yes', please provide	Permanent Ordinan	ce/Resolution/Loc	al Law number(s): <u>R11-137</u>			
Mayor: Comptroller: Corporation Counsel: Finance □ Plant	July San Market	OFFICE USE ONLY LILL KILL DEVELOPMENT					
finance Plant	ning□ MPA□	PW/Parks 🗆	Employees 🗆	Rules/Special Studies			



RL Number:

13-24

Date Submitted:

1/30/13

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by:	Charlie Pearsall/Luk	e Day						
Title/Department:	Comptroller/Finance	& Comiss	sioner/DPW					
Contact Information:	tact Information: clpearsall@cityofbinghamton.com & lgday@cityofbinghamton.com							
	R	L Inform	<u> ation</u>					
Proposed Title: Transfers to provide for adequate funding on budget lines								
	ns obligation	in		***************************************		-		
Suggested Content:	See attached sheet							
						· ·		
			March 1					
	Addi	tional Inf	ormation					
Does this RL concern gra	ant funding?		Yes	S 🗆	No			
If 'Yes', is the required F	RL Grant Worksheet a	attached?	Yes	S 🗆	NoX			
Is additional information	related to the RL atta	ached?	Yes		No □			
Is RL related to previous	ly adopted legislation	n?	Yes	S 🗆	No			
If 'Yes', please provide I	Permanent Ordinance/	/Resolutio	on/Local Law	number(s):			
,	All on	FRE USE	6NXV)					
Mayor:	1/ Will		IRY	7		-		
Comptroller:	(day		6	7				
Corporation Counsel:	and the second				Control State of Contro			
Finance Planni	ng D MPA D	PW/Parks	Empl	oyees 🗆	Rules/Specia	al Studies 🗆		

2012 Budget Transfers DPW

Dept	Obj	Descr	From	То
A1620	54202	Electricity	2,657.91	
A1620	51900	OT		2,657.91
A1620	54202	Electricity	8,792.24	
A1620	54201	Gas - Heat		8,792.24
A1620	54202	Electricity	25,000.00	
A1620	54663	Shared Maint		25,000.00
A1620	54112	Gaoline/disel	9,138.33	
A1620	54114	Lubricants		9,138.33
A1650	52600	Equip	2,826.00	
A1650	54102	Gen Op Supp	2,198.17	
A1650	51900	OT		5,024.17
A1650	54410	Prof Svs	1,268.69	
A1650	54202	Electricity		1,268.69
A5142	54414	Snow Removal	23,202.43	
A5142	51000	Personal Svs		23,202.43
A5142	54414	Snow Removal	94.49	
A5142	51900	OT		94.49
A5182	54220	Street Lighting	3,039.03	
A5182	51900	OT		3,039.03
A8160	51000	Personal Svs	14,653.12	
A8160	51900	OT		14,653.12

Pearsall, Charles

From:

Day, Luke

Sent:

Wednesday, January 23, 2013 6:26 PM

To:

Pearsall, Charles

Cc: Subject:

Kennicutt, Gerald

Attachments:

2012 end of year budget transfer requests Signed requests sent 1-23-13.pdf

Charlie,

I have attached budget transfer requests for all 2012 budget lines that are currently over in Munis, with the exception of the personnel lines that I do not submit budgetary numbers for. We have a claim for payment filled out for the December Tipping Fee at the Broome County Landfill \$28,298.80 budget line- CL8160.54661 and a claim for payment to Broome County for shared Maintenance \$36,581.96 budget line- A1620.54663. Once the transfers are executed we should be all set for 2012.

Regards, Luke

Luke Day Commissioner
Department of Public Works
City of Binghamton
City Hall
38 Hawley Street
Binghamton, New York 13901



City Hall, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Transfer requests of \$2500 or less must be approved by the Comptroller.

Transfer requests over \$2500 and not in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by Board of E&A and Chair of Finance Committee.

Transfer requests in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by City Council.

City Comptroller

38 Hawley Street Binghamton, NY 13901	ent	
		Date: 1/23/2013
I respectfully request the below described and of the year shortfall	ped transfer of funds due to the follow	ring reasons:
From Budget Line (No. and Title)	To Budget Line (No. and Title)	Total Transfer Amount
A1650.52600Equip/.54102 Gen. Oper.	A51900 -Overtime	\$5,024.17
A 1650.54410 - Proffessional Syces.	A1650.54202 - Electricity	\$1,268.69
Simula Luka Dev		
Signature: Luke Day Jule	OFFICE USE ONLY	Date: 1/23/2013
Signature: Luke Day I hereby certify that the above funds are ur Signature:	nencumbered and available for Transfer. (Alma
I hereby certify that the above funds are ur	nencumbered and available for Transfer. (Certified by the Comptroller. Date: <u>124/13</u>
I hereby certify that the above funds are un Signature: I hereby certify that the above described for Binghamton Chapter 9, Appropriations. Considerations.	nencumbered and available for Transfer. Consider the contract of the contract	Certified by the Comptroller. Date: \(\frac{124}{13} \) with the Code of the City of Date:
I hereby certify that the above funds are up. Signature: I hereby certify that the above described for Binghamton Chapter 9, Appropriations. Continuous Chapter 19, Appropriations.	nencumbered and available for Transfer. Consider the contract of the contract	Certified by the Comptroller. Date: \(\frac{124}{13} \) with the Code of the City of Date: \(\text{Date: Board of } \)
I hereby certify that the above funds are upon Signature: I hereby certify that the above described for Binghamton Chapter 9, Appropriations. Consignature: Transfer of funds APPROVED // DENIE Estimate and Apportionment. Signature:	nencumbered and available for Transfer. Consider the Constant of the Constant	Certified by the Comptroller. Date: \(\sum_2 \frac{1}{2} \) \(\text{S} \) with the Code of the City of Date: \(\sum_1 \) Date: \(\sum_2 \)
I hereby certify that the above funds are used in the second seco	inds have been transferred, in accordance ertified by the Treasurer. ED on 13013 Certified by months City Council Finance Chair. Recommends	Certified by the Comptroller. Date: \(\frac{24/t3}{} \) with the Code of the City of Date: \(\frac{130/3}{} \)



City Hall, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Transfer requests of \$2500 or less must be approved by the Comptroller.

Transfer requests over \$2500 and not in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by Board of E&A and Chair of Finance Committee.

Transfer requests in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by City Council.

City Comptroller c/o Board of Estimate and Apportionment 38 Hawley Street

Binghamton, NY 13901				
	•	Date: 1/23/2013		
I respectfully request the below descri	bed transfer of funds due to the follow	ing reasons:		
End of year shortfall				
From Budget Line (No. and Title)	To Budget Line (No. and Title)	Total Transfer Amount		
A5142.54414 Snow Removal/Salting	A5142.51000 Personal Services	\$23,202.43		
A5142.54414 Snow Removal/Salting	A5142,51900 - Overtime	\$94.49		
I do hereby certify that the funds will transfer to be made.	not be needed in the budget line from	which I am requesting this		
Signature: Luke Day Juke	DX	Date: 1/23/2013		
	OFFICE USE ONLY			
I hereby certify that the above funds are u	nencumbered and available for Transfer. C	Certified by the Comptroller.		
Signature:	mell	Date: 424/13		
I hereby certify that the above described f Binghamton Chapter 9, Appropriations. C	unds have been transferred, in accordance ertified by the Treasurer.	with the Code of the City of		
Signature:	WWW.	Date:		
Transfer of funds APPROVED DENI Estimate and Apportionment	ED \square on $\sqrt{30/13}$. Certified by	the Secretary of the Board of		
Signature: Date: 1/30/13				
Transfer of funds reviewed by the Bingha		mendations to be attached.		
Signature:		Date:		
**************************************	- POSITION AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF T			



City Hall, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Transfer requests of \$2500 or less must be approved by the Comptroller.

Transfer requests over \$2500 and not in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by Board of E&A and Chair of Finance Committee. Transfer requests in excess of \$10,000 nust be approved by City Council.

City Comptroller c/o Board of Estimate and Apportionment 38 Hawley Street

		Date: 1/23/2013
I respectfully request the below described of year shortfall	ibed transfer of funds due to the follow	ing reasons:
From Budget Line (No. and Title)	To Budget Line (No. and Title)	Total Transfer Amount
A5182.54220 - Street Lighting	A5182.51900 - Overtime	\$3,039.03
A8160.5100 [©] Personal Services	A8160.51900 - Overtime	\$14,653.12
I do hereby certify that the funds will transfer to be made.	not be needed in the budget line from	which I am requesting this
Signature: Luke Day Jule 1	9->	Date: 1/23/2013
	OFFICE USE ONLY	A service of the serv
Signature:	unds have been transferred, in accordance	Date: 1/24/13
Signature: Transfer of funds APPROVED A/ DENIEstimate and Apportionment. Signature:	ertined by the Treasurer.	Date:the Secretary of the Board of Date:
Signature:		Date:



City Hall, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Transfer requests of \$2500 or less must be approved by the Comptroller.

Transfer requests over \$2500 and not in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by Board of E&A and Chair of Finance Committee.

Transfer requests in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by City Council.

City Comptroller c/o Board of Estimate and Apportionment 38 Hawley Street Binghamton, NY 13901

		Date: 1/23/2013			
I respectfully request the below descri	ibed transfer of funds due to the follow	ing reasons:			
End of the year shortfall					
From Budget Line (No. and Title)	To Budget Line (No. and Title)	Total Transfer Amount			
A1620.54202 - Electricity	A1620.51900 - Overtime	\$2,657.91			
A 1620.54202 Electricity	A1620.54201- Gas-Heat	\$8,792.24			
I do hereby certify that the funds will transfer to be made.	not be needed in the budget line from	which I am requesting this			
Signature: Luke Day Ju	le D	Date: 1/23/2013			
The Control of the Co	OFFICE USE ONLY	A Liver Committee (Control of Control of Con			
I hereby certify that the above funds are u	mengumbered and available for Transfer. (Certified by the Comptroller,			
Signature:	mell	Date: 1/24/13			
I hereby certify that the above described in Binghamton Chapter 9, Appropriations.	funds have been transferred, in accordance Certified by the Treasurer.	with the Code of the City of			
Signature:		Date:			
Transfer of funds APPROVED / DEN	TED \square on $1/30/13$. Certified by	the Secretary of the Board of			
Signature: Olygla Wolned Date: 1/30/13					
Transfer of funds reviewed by the Bingha	mton City Council Finance Chair. Recomm				
Signature:		Date;			
- Grandenberg and American Control of the Control o					



City Hall, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Transfer requests of \$2500 or less must be approved by the Comptroller.

Transfer requests over \$2500 and not in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by Board of E&A and Chair of Finance Committee.

Transfer requests in excess of \$10,000 must be approved by City Council.

City Comptroller c/o Board of Estimate and Apportionment 38 Hawley Street Binghamton, NY 13901

		Date: 1/23/2013
I respectfully request the below descri	bed transfer of funds due to the following	reasons:
End of the year shortfall		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
From Budget Line (No. and Title)	To Budget Line (No. and Title)	Total Transfer Amoun
A1620.54202 - Electricity	A1620.54663 Shared Maintenance B.C.	\$25,000.00
A 1640.54112 - Gasoline / Diesel Fuel	A1640.54114 - Lubricants	\$9,138.33
I do hereby certify that the funds will transfer to be made.	not be needed in the budget line from whi	ich I am requesting this
Signature: Luke Day Juk	le D	Date: 1/23/2013
	OFFICE USE ONLY	
I hereby certify that the above funds are un	nencumbered and available for Transfer. Cert	ified by the Comptroller.
	ml	Date: 124/13
I hereby certify that the above described fi Binghamton Chapter 9, Appropriations. C	ands have been transferred, in accordance wit ertified by the Treasurer.	
Signature:		Date:
Transfer of funds APPROVED // DENI Estimate and Apportionment.	ED \Box on $\frac{\sqrt{30/3}}{\sqrt{30}}$. Certified by the	Secretary of the Board of
Signature:	Nolnes)	Date: <u>\\30/13</u>
Transfer of funds reviewed by the Binghar	nton City Council Finance Chair. Recommen	dations to be attached.
Signature:		Date:
		2000

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by:	Jennifer Taylor						
Title/Department:	Grants Administrate	or, PHCD	-				
Contact Information:	ontact Information: jmtaylor@cityofbinghamton.com						
		RL Information					
Proposed Title:	An ordinance autho	rizing the Mayor to	enter into an ag	reement with Fairview			
Recovery Services, Inc.	to participate in the	Broome County Sh	nelterNet Homel	ess Management			
Information System at a	cost not to exceed	\$1,720.00					
Suggested Content:	To be drafted by Co	orporation Counsel					
	(5)						
	Add	litional Informati	on				
Does this RL concern gr	ant funding?		Yes 🗷	No 🗆			
If 'Yes', is the required	RL Grant Workshee	t attached?	Yes □	No 🗆			
Is additional information	n related to the RL at	tached?	Yes □	No 🗷			
Is RL related to previous	sly adopted legislation	on?	Yes 🗷	No □			
If 'Yes', please provide	Permanent Ordinand	e/Resolution/Loca	l Law number(s): <u>R11-39</u>			
Mayor: Comptroller: Corporation Counsel: Finance Plann	ing - MPA -	PW/Parks 0	Employees :	Rules/Special Studies □			

Date Submitted:

RL Number:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by:	Jennifer Taylor		**					
Title/Department:	itle/Department: Grants Administrator, PHCD							
Contact Information:	ontact Information: jmtaylor@cityofbinghamton.com							
	Ē	RL Information						
Proposed Title: An ordinance authorizing the Mayor to execute a no-cost supplemental								
agreement to amend the	term of the Voluntee	ers of America FY	′ 37 Emergency S	Shelter Grant contract				
to February 1, 2012 - Ma	arch 30, 2013							
Suggested Content:	To be drafted by Cor	poration Counsel						
	Addi	itional Informati	ion					
Does this RL concern gra	ant funding?		Yes ⊠	No □				
If 'Yes', is the required I	RL Grant Worksheet	attached?	Yes 🗆	No □				
Is additional information	related to the RL att	ached?	Yes □	No 🗷				
Is RL related to previous	ly adopted legislation	n?	Yes ⊠	No □				
If 'Yes', please provide l	Permanent Ordinance	e/Resolution/Loca	al Law number(s)	e: R11-39				
		FICE USE ONLY	$\sqrt{}$					
Mayor:		The I	KA					
Comptroller:			J. J.	<i>a</i>				
Corporation Counsel:		IM		×				
Finance = Planni	ng 🗆 MPA 🗆	PW/Parks 🗆	Employees	Rules/Special Studies				

RL Number:

13-2-1

Date Submitted:

130/13

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 60

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitte	d by:	Jennifer Tay	/lor			
Title/Department		Grants Adm	inistrato	r, PHCD		
Contact Informat	tion:	jmtaylor@ci	tyofbing	hamton.com		
			I	RL Informatio	<u>on</u>	
Proposed Title:		An ordinance	e author	izing the Mayo	or to enter into an a	agreement with Family
Enrichment Netwo	ork, Inc	for the use	of FY 38	8 Emergency S	Solutions Grants fo	unding in an amount
not to exceed \$50),885.0	0				
Suggested Conter	nt:	To be drafted	d by Co	rporation Cour	nsel	
+						
			Add	itional Inforn	nation	
Does this RL conc	ern gr	ant funding?			Yes 🗷	No □
If 'Yes', is the req	uired I	RL Grant Wo	rksheet	attached?	Yes □	No □
Is additional inform	mation	related to th	e RL att	ached?	Yes □	No 🗷
Is RL related to pr	evious	ly adopted le	egislatio	n?	Yes 🗷	No 🗆
If 'Yes', please pro	ovide l	Permanent O	rdinance	e/Resolution/L	ocal Law number	(s): R012-52
Mayor: Comptroller: Corporation Co	unsel:			REFUGE USE ON	Ry Control	
Finance 🗆	Planni	ng□ MP	PA 🗆	PW/Parks □	Employees	Rules/Special Studies

RL Number:

13-28

Date Submitted:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by	: Tarik Abdelazim / Steve Quinn		
Title/Department:	Director / Housing Supervisor		
Contact Information:			
	RL Informa	ation	
Proposed Title:	Resolution Authorizing Mayor to	Renew Two-Year Con	tract with Metro Interfaith
to serve as Binghamto	n Homeownership Academy Coor	dinator	
Suggested Content:	See R11-17, approved 2/23/11,	which authorized origi	nal contract.
Two years of funding v	vith CDBG funds (\$16,000/year) s	erves as match in Com	nmunity Challenge
Planning Grant, which	leveraged an additional \$48,000	to expand services and	capacity at academy.
	Additional Info	ormation	
Does this RL concern	grant funding?	Yes ⊠	No □
If 'Yes', is the required	d RL Grant Worksheet attached?	Yes □	No 🗷
Is additional information	on related to the RL attached?	Yes □	No □
Is RL related to previo	usly adopted legislation?	Yes 🗷	No 🗆
If 'Yes', please provid	e Permanent Ordinance/Resolutio	n/Local Law number(s): R11-17
<u> </u>			\overline{D}
Mayor:	OFFICE	ONLY	Hay
Comptroller:	113451		
Competition Counsel	The second secon		- Paragraphy of the Control of the C
	nning MPA PW/Parks	□ Employees □	Rules/Special Studies



THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: February 23, 2011

Sponsored by Council Members: Weslar, Webb, Collins, Gerchman, Rennia, Massey, Kramer

Introduced by Committee: Municipal and Public Affairs

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH
METRO INTERFAITH TO SERVE AS THE
BINGHAMTON HOMEOWNERSHIP
ACADEMY COORDINATOR

WHEREAS, the City of Binghamton has entered into a contract with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development for a grant to execute a Community Development Block Grant Program for the purpose of supporting activities which will benefit low to moderate income families or individuals and to aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight in the City of Binghamton; and

WHEREAS, the City of Binghamton desires to enter into an agreement with Metro Interfaith Housing Management, Inc. to serve as the Binghamton Homeownership Academy Coordinator for services related to the recruitment, marketing, administration and implementation of the City's housing programs, in accordance with the attached proposal; and

WHEREAS, funds in the total amount of \$29,000 for 2011 and 2012 are currently available from budget line CD8668.533509.CDY36 (Homeownership/Rehab) or a portion thereof may de deducted from CDY37for the above stated purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session, does hereby:

RESOLVE, that the Mayor, or his designee, is hereby authorized to enter into an agreement, approved as to form and content by the Office of Corporation Counsel, with Metro Interfaith Housing Management, Inc. to serve as the Binghamton Homeownership Academy Coordinator in accordance with the attached proposal and that funds in the total amount of \$29,000 for 2011 and 2012 are currently available from budget line CD8668.533509.CDY36 (Homeownership/Rehab) or a portion thereof may be deducted from CDY37 for the above stated purpose.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above described funds are unengumbered and available.

ncil Jo

John T. Cox, Comptroller

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of the legislation adopted by the Council of the City of Binghamton at a meeting held on $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}$. Approved by the Meyor on $\frac{2}{12}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}$



RL Number:

13-29

Date Submitted:

131/13

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901

607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

	<u>Applicant Informa</u>	<u>tion</u>	RECEIVED
Request submitted by:	Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Se	ewage Board	1AN 6 1 2012
Title/Department:			JAN 3 1 2013
Contact Information:	POC: Eugene Hulbert, Sr., Chairr	man (222-6728)	OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK CITY OF BINGHAMTON
	RL Information	<u>n</u>	
Proposed Title:	AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING	THE BINGHAMT	DN-JOHNSON CITY
	D FISCAL OFFICER TO MODIFY 1	THE 2013 JOINT	SEWAGE BOARD
	T FOR FLOOD RECOVERY REIME		
		The second secon	
Suggested Content:			0
	(please see proposed legislation v	vording attached)	
			4.00 - 2.00 - 2.00 - 2.00
		<u></u>	
	Additional Inform		
Does this RL concern gr	ant funding?	FEMA F Yes ⊠	PA Program Reimbursement No □
	•		
If 'Yes', is the required	RL Grant Worksheet attached?	Yes □	No ⊠ (n/a re FEMA reimbursement fundin
Is additional information	related to the RL attached?	Yes ⊠	No □
Is RL related to previous	sly adopted legislation?	Yes ⊠	No 🗆
If 'Yes', please provide	Permanent Ordinance/Resolution/Lo	ocal Law number((s): 2013 JSB Budget &
			Perm. Ord. 12-19
	OFFICE USE ON	LY	
Mayor:			200
Comptroller:			
Corporation Counsel:	- Apple and the second		
Finance Plann	ing MPA PW/Parks	Employees 🗆	Rules/Special Studies

GBVBGG.

HELD YTTO BETTED BOSTS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO

(this page blank intentionally)

PROPOSED LEGISLATION WORDING

ORDINANCE entitled

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE BINGHAM-TON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE BOARD FISCAL OFFICER TO MODIFY THE 2013 JOINT SEWAGE BOARD BUDGET TO ACCOUNT FOR FLOOD RECOVERY REIMBURSEMENT MONIES RECEIVED.

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of Binghamton and the Board of Trustees of the Village of Johnson City approve the annual budget of the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Board ("JSB"); and

WHEREAS, as a result of flood damage during June 2006 (federally-declared Disaster DR-1650) at the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Treatment Plant ("Plant") the JSB has received funds in the amount of \$1,386,692.99 during 2013 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA" – 75% share) and the New York State Office of Emergency Management ("SOEM" – 25% share) for reimbursement of flood repairs at the Plant which were made using services and contracts paid for by the Board; and

WHEREAS, the JSB has paid to its flood recovery claims consultant and engineering consultant in prior years the sum of \$91,506.25 for services to assist the JSB in obtaining such reimbursement, as well as in obtaining reimbursements of \$2,995,037.50 for the City and the Village in relation to repairs of flood damage to capital projects, and a pending anticipated additional \$1,077,489.01 net reimbursement for the City and Village; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate to modify the JSB's 2013 budget to reflect receipt of the net \$1,295,186.74 reimbursement revenue and to transfer such amount to the JSB's Flood Recovery Capital Projects Fund for use in making repairs of more recent flood damage.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session, does hereby ordain as follows:

Section 1. That the City of Binghamton hereby authorizes and directs the

Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Board Fiscal Officer to:

- a. increase estimated Revenues line J.43960 ("State Aid, Emergency Disaster Assistance") in the JSB's 2013 Estimated Revenue Budget by \$323,796.68 (from \$0.00 to \$323,796.68);
- b. increase estimated Revenues line J.44960 ("Federal Aid, Emergency Disaster Assistance") in the JSB's 2013 Estimated Revenue Budget by \$971,390.06 (from \$3,210,000.00 to \$4,181,390.06);
- c. increase Expenses line J9950 ("Transfer to Capital Fund") by \$1,295,186.74 (from \$7,016,815.00 to \$8,312,001.74); and, correspondingly
- d. increase line JF8130.559100 ("Flood Capital Fund Recovery Projects") in the JSB's Flood Recovery Capital Projects Fund by \$1,295,186.74, such monies to be subject to provision 1(g) of Permanent Ordinance 12-19 granting the Fiscal Officer authority to allocate appropriations within the JSB's Flood Recovery Capital Projects Fund as needed up to the amount of the unencumbered cash balance of recorded revenue as from time to time on hand.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately upon approval by a majority of the Council of the City of Binghamton and a majority of the Board of Trustees of the Village.

PROPOSED ALLOCATION OF RECENTLY-RECEIVED 2006 FLOOD FEMA/SOEM REVENUES AS BETWEEN CITY, VILLAGE, AND JOINT SEWAGE BOARD ("JSB") BY FEMA PW

BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT and TERMINAL PUMPING STATION

		- for repairs - for admin. expense	- for repairs - for admin. expense	- for repairs - for admin. expense	·
PROPOSED AMOUNT	TO JSB	\$12,125.80	\$1,351,604.47 \$13,516.04	\$9,446.68	\$1,386,692.99
PROPOSED AMOUNT	TO VILLAGE	\$548,086.25	1),1 	\$805,670.70	3.55 \$1,353,756.95 36,381,730.49 180 DAYS 180 DAYS 180 DAYS 180 0AYS 180 0AYS
PROPOSED	TO CITY	\$664,493.95		\$976,786.60	\$1,641,28
	PROJECT WORKSHEET ("PW") DESCRIPTION	Replace Damaged Equipment - BAF Contract 1	Repair/replace Damaged Equipment - Existing Plant	Repairfreplace Damaged Work - BAF Contract 3 & Solids Handling Contract 4	PROPOSED ALLOCATION RECEIVED JAI TITS: Non-Negote abre Thin for Beetronic Payments information SHING # STRICE OF PREMIES TO STRING BEAUTY TO S
,	CAT.	ŭ.	Ľ.	<u>u.</u>	NOT-FOR-BROI YALESPAYINDAX EFORE CA
FEMA	PW NUMBER	3861	3952 & 3952v1	4667	1.49 <== check total oov Tentries, Vendons, nor-fon-anoritis oo. in intriverve ser sites sychopay/induchin i DETACH HERE BEFORE CASH 2.208927 2.208927 E. S.
BINGHAMION-JOHNSON CITT JOHN SEVACE INEXT INC. THE SALE THE SALE THE SEVACE INEXT INC. THE SALE THE SA	AMOUNT RECIEVED	\$1,224,706.00	\$1,365,120.51	\$1,791,903.98	\$4,381,730.49 Soort C21 C21 C22 C30 C30 C30 C30 C30 C30 C30
PAYMENT	RECEIVED DATE	25-Jan-13	25-Jan-13	25-Jan-13	
PART OF	CHECK	00981677	00981677	00981677	
	CHECK DATE	22-Jan-13	22-Jan-13	22-Jan-13	Page 19 of 85

KeyBank N.A.

#00988877# #0288300558#

CHECK DATE DATE: APPROVED: \PPROVED: DATE: JOINT SEWAGE BOARD ENCUMBRANCE FORM (expanded to track FEMA reimbursement potential) CHECK # 16-Jan-12 12-Jan-12 16-Jan-12 05-Jan-12 ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT: INCREASE) DEOREAGE for C-114 C-168 02/14/2012 03/13/2012 03/13/2012 03/13/2012 04/10/2012 05/08/2012 05/08/2012 05/08/2012 06/12/2012 06/12/2012 07/10/2012 07/10/2012 08/14/2012 Expenses \$35,384,39 \$ 367,428.00 \$57,287.61 \$13,673.74 \$ 336,368.00 \$54,728.73 \$7 \$23,040.00 \$ 334,248.00 \$54,773.87 \$23,040.00 \$ 311,342.00 \$53,753.87 \$24,660.01 \$ 311,342.00 \$51,979.87 \$24,660.01 \$ 311,342.00 \$50,322.56 \$26,640.41 \$ 266,629.50 \$47,052.15 \$24,060.41 \$ 266,629.50 \$47,052.15 \$24,007.72 \$ 243,509.50 \$45,144.43 \$11,911.91 \$ 203,472.07 \$ 541,938.24 \$11,911.91 \$ 203,432.55 \$41,263.83 \$18,457.12 \$ 127,285.75 \$41,938.24 \$11,911.91 \$ 203,432.55 \$41,263.83 \$18,457.12 \$ 197,285.75 \$43,938.24 \$11,911.91 \$ 203,432.55 \$41,263.83 \$18,457.12 \$ 197,285.75 \$39,004.21 \$14,166.66 \$ 173,899.50 \$38,283.80 \$14,735.81 \$ 119,424.50 \$38,283.80 \$31,4735.81 \$ 118,006.50 \$32,690.39 \$11,735.81 \$ 118,006.50 \$33,576.36 \$11,947.95 \$12,444.41 \$ 95,919.00 \$31,273.54 \$97,704.00 \$30,284.93 \$7,513.03 \$ 74,529.00 \$30,284.93 \$7,530.01 \$ 74,529.00 \$28,557.90 \$91,284.40 \$ 53,604.00 \$27,199.90 \$11,050.00 \$ 42,909.00 \$27,199.90 \$11,155.00 \$ 42,909.00 \$25,407.90 \$93,773.71 \$ 25,549.00 \$25,407.90 \$302,641,00 for Services and Expenses 61,540.00 NTE for Expenses 398,560.00 NTE for Services ZE A H H VENDOR S
INVOICE # 1
BJCJSB-1
BJCJSB-3
BJCJSB-3
BJCJSB-6
BJCJSB-6
BJCJSB-7
BJCJSB-10
BJCJSB-11
BJCJSB-11
BJCJSB-12
BJCJSB-13
BJCJSB-13
BJCJSB-13
BJCJSB-14
BJCJSB-15
BJCJSB-15
BJCJSB-16
BJCJSB-17
BJCJSB-17
BJCJSB-17
BJCJSB-17
BJCJSB-18
BJCJSB-17
BJCJSB-17
BJCJSB-18
BJCJSB-19
BJCJSB-19
BJCJSB-29
B 3 SERVICES DIRECT % of 1744 CHOOSE 1 COSTS TOTAL 1 TOTAL 2 COSTS TOTAL 2 ST, 263.00 34.6% 3 COZ24/20712 \$1,387.25 48.00% 34.6% 4 COMPOZENT2 \$1,387.25 48.00% 5 COMPOZENT2 \$15,783.75 65.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,783.75 65.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,783.75 65.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,783.75 65.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,780.75 62.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,780.75 62.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,780.75 62.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,780.75 62.6% 64.00% 207.20 \$15,264.75 19.0% 60.00% 207.20 \$1,294.75 19.0% 60.00% 207.20 \$1,294.75 19.0% 60.00% 207.20 \$1,294.75 19.0% 60.00% 207.20 \$1,294.75 19.0% 60.00% 20.0% 67.5% 68.00% 20.00% 20.00% 67.5% 68.00% 20.00% 20.00% 67.5% 68.00% 20.00% 2

NOT FEMA: % of REMAIN FRAME TO TAL \$22,564.39 G.38% \$5,548.74 40.6% \$5,548.74 40.6% \$5,992.00 30.3% \$10,804.60 43.8% \$9,9246.06 34.4% \$9,337.16 35.0% \$4,036.22 16.8% \$5,227.41 18.4% \$2,274.31 16.8% \$2,274.31 16.8% \$2,274.31 16.8% \$2,274.31 16.8% \$2,274.31 16.8% \$2,274.31 16.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$2,475.31 16.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$2,248.41 18.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$2,248.41 18.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$2,475.31 16.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$2,475.31 16.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$2,475.31 16.8% \$1,139.41 19.7% \$1,139.80 17.5% \$1,139.31 17.5% \$1,139.31 17.5% \$1,139.31 17.5% \$1,139.30 17.5% \$1,139.30 17.5% \$1,339.3

NOT FEMA: % of REIMBURSABLE TOTAL \$310.00 0.8% \$155.00 1.1% \$0.0% \$0.00 0.0%

1650
FEMA
REIMEURSABLE
DIRECT %, of COSTS TOTAL
\$0.00 0.0%
\$103.00 0.4%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%

NORECT COSTS STATE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF T

OVERHEAD/
PROJECT
COSTS
NOT FEMA-

TOTAL PAYMENTS APPROVED TO DATE: \$

411,098.81

2012 CUMULATIVE:

\$213,503.25 | 51.9% 4031 - DIRECT COSTS

\$108,553.81 26.4% 4031-INDIRECT COSTS

\$103.00 0.0% 1650 - DIRECT COSTS

\$88.318.25 | 21.5% 1650-INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD/PROJECT COSTS

\$620.00 0.2%

				7
			AND STREET, ST	Contraction (Contraction (Contraction Contraction)
CONSULTING SERVICES	MITIGATION	PROJECT NAME: 2012-13 FLOOD RECOVERY AND MITIGATION CONSULTING SERVICES	OJECT NAME:	PR
ACCT #: JF813.9105 (and others)	ACCT#:	VENDOR: SIMMONS RECOVERY CONSULTING, LLC	SIMMONS RE	VENDOR:
	PO#:			VENDOR #:

JOINT SEWAGE BOARD ENCUMBRANCE FORM (expanded to track FEMA reimbursement potential)

	ACCT #: JF813.9105 (and others)	ERVICES
PO #:	ACCT #:	ON CONSULTING SERVICES
***************************************	/ENDOR: HRANEK ENGINEERING, PLLC	2012 FLOOD REMEDIATIC
1937-1	HRANEK EN	PROJECT NAME:
VENDOR#:	VENDOR:	PR(

TE: 09-Fab-12 ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT: \$ 75,000.00 NTE for Services and Expenses (plus – upon advance written approval of Superintendent as to rates and utilization – up to \$10,000.00 for temparary staff) DATE: 09-Feb-12 ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT: RETROACTIVE TO: 01-Jan-12 INCREASE / DECREASE for: DATE:

NEW Amended Contract Amount: \$ 75,000,00 NTE for Services and Expenses (plus – upon advance written approval of Superintendent as to rates and utilization – up to \$10,000.00 for temparary staff)

			JSB-APPROVAL	PAYMENT	ENCUMBRANCE	VENDOR	SERVICES
CHECK DATE	CHECK#	JSB CLAIM#	DATE	AMOUNT	BALANCE REMAINING	INVOICE #	THROUGH
The second secon		C-103	02/14/2012	\$10,290.00	64,710.00	2012-103-001	01/31/2012
		C-163	03/13/2012	\$8,903.25		2012-103-002	02/29/2012
		C-257	05/08/2012	\$10,305.00		2012-103-003	03/31/2012
		C-331	06/12/2012	\$15,735.00 \$		2012-103-004	05/31/2012
		C-392	07/10/2012	\$7,995.00	21,771.75	2012-103-005	06/30/2013
		C417	08/14/2012	\$9,924.00		2012-103-006	07/30/2012
		C-519	09/11/2012	\$6,075.00		2012-103-007	08/31/2012
F		C-632	11/13/2012	\$3,810.00 \$	1,962.75	2012-103-008	10/08/2012
aç				€9			
ge				69			
2				69			
1				69			
of				69			
8				69			***************************************
•				69			
				69			
				6		-	
				49			
				69			
				69		-	
				69			
				69			
				49			
	-			69			
				69			
				69			
				φ.			
				69			
				59			
				\$			
				69			
	STREET, STREET	-		The state of the s			

TOTAL 2012 PAYMENTS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ 73,037.25

2011 CONTRACT PAYMENTS: \$ 21,345.00

GRAND TOTAL PAYMENTS APPROVED: \$ 94,382.25

OVERHEAD/	PROJECT	NOT FEMA- % of	щ	\$210.00 2.1%	1 4	1.1	\$0.00 0.0%		\$1,130.00 29.7%	\$2,090.00 2.8% OVERHEADIPROJECT COSTS	\$180.00 0.9% OVERHEAD/PROJECT COSTS	\$2,270.00 2.5% OVERHEAD/PROJECT COSTS
1650	INDIRECT	NOT FEMA- % of	ш	\$630.00 6.1% \$270.00 3.0%			%0:0 00:0\$	\$0.00 0.0%		\$1,980.00 2.7% 1660-INDIRECT COSTS	\$105.00 0.5% 1650-INDIRECT COSTS	\$2,085.00 2.2% 4650-INDIRECT COSTS
1650	FEMA	MEIMBURSABLE DIRECT % of	۳	\$150.00 1.5% \$0.00 0.0%			\$90.00 11%		%0.00 00.00	\$990.00 1.4% 1650 - DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00 0.0% 1650 - DRECT COSTS	\$990.00 1.0% 1650 - DIRECT COSTS
4031	INDIRECT	NOT FEMA- % of	Щ	\$6,570.00 63.8% \$7,853.25 88.2%			\$2,256.00 28.2%		\$225.00 5.9%	\$29,189.25 40.0%	\$8,460.00 39.6% 4031-INDIRECT COSTS	\$37,649.25 39.9% 4031-INDIRECT COSTS
4031	FEMA	REIMBURSABLE DIRECT % of		\$2,730.00 26.5% \$780.00 8.8%	1	\$8,535.00 54.2%	\$5,649.00 70.7%	\$4,995.00 82.2%	\$2,455.00 64.4%	\$38,788.00 53.1% 4031 - DIRECT COSTS	\$12,600.00 59.0% 4031 - DIRECT COSTS	\$51,388.00 54.4% 4031 - DIRECT COSTS
		VENDOR SERVICES	444	2012-103-001 01/31/2012 2012-103-002 02/29/2012	Ī.	-	2012-103-005 06/30/2012		2012-103-008 10/08/2012	2012 CUMULATIVE:	2011 CUMULATIVE:	CUMULATIVE GRAND TOTAL:
Z S				N N	N	N	Ñ À	v K	Ñ			-

JOINT SEWAGE BOARD ENCUMBRANCE FORM (expanded to track FEMA reimbursement potential)

VENDOR #:
VENDOR:

DATE: RETROACTIVE TO:

03-Nov-11 30-Sep-11

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT:

69

25,000.00 NTE for Services and Expenses

PROJECT NAME:

2011 FLOOD REMEDIATION CONSULTING SERVICES

PO# ACCT#

J8130.4483

HRANEK ENGINEERING, PLLC

CHECK DATE DATE: NEW Amended Contract Amount: \$ 21,345.00 NTE for Services and Expenses (plus – upon advance written approval of Superintendent as to rates and utilization – up to \$10,000.00 for temparary staff) (plus - upon advance written approval of Superintendent as to rates and utilization - up to \$10,000.00 for temparary staff) TOTAL PAYMENTS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ CHECK# 29-Feb-12 JSB CLAIM# JSB-APPROVAL DATE C-539 11/08/2011 C-583 12/13/2011 C-030 01/05/2012 ##URE-62/DECREASE or: contract end-12/31/11 \$4,680.00 \$7,020.00 \$9,645.00 21,345.00 ENCUMBRANCE BALANCE REMAINING 16,665.00 (3,655.00) VENDOR SERVICES INVOICE # THROUGH 2011-103-001 10/27/2011 2011-103-002 11/28/2011 2011-103-003 12/31/2011 CUMULATIVE: \$12,600.00 59.0% 4031 - DIRECT COSTS 4031
INDIRECT
COSTS
NOT FEMA. % of
REMBURSABLE TOTAL
\$1,020.00 21.8%
\$2,070.00 29.5%
\$5,370.00 55.7% \$8,460.00 39.6% 4031-INDIRECT COSTS 1650
FEMA
REIMBURSABLE
DIRECT % of COSTS TOTAL
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$0.00 0.0% \$0.00 0.0% 1650 - DIRECT COSTS \$105.00 0.5% 1650-INDIRECT COSTS 7650
INDIFECT
COSTS

NOT FEMA. % of
REIMBURGABLE TOTAL
\$15,00 0.3%
\$0.00 0.0%
\$90.00 0.9% \$180.00 0.9% OVERHEAD/PROJECT COSTS NOT FEMA- % of REIMBURSABLE TOTAL \$0.00 -0.0% \$180.00 2.6% OVERHEAD/
PROJECT
COSTS
NOT FEMA-Page 22 of 85

13-30

Date Submitted:
2/1/13

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by:	Tarik Abdelazim		<u> </u>				
Director, Department of Planning, Housing & Community Development 772-7028, tabdelazim@cityofbinghamton.com							
ontact Information: 772-7028, tabdelazim@cityofbinghamton.com							
	RL	Information					
Proposed Title:	A Resolution Authorizin	g the Mayor to	o Enter into an	Agreement with Cornell			
Cooperative Extension	of Broome County for the	e Energy Lead	dership Progran	n			
			DPLD CARLES CONTROL CO				
Suggested Content:	to be drafted by Corpor	ation Counse					
	Additio	onal Informa	tion				
Does this RL concern gr	rant funding?		Yes 🗷	No □			
If 'Yes', is the required	RL Grant Worksheet att	ached?	Yes ⊠	No □			
Is additional information	n related to the RL attacl	hed?	Yes ⋈	No □			
Is RL related to previou	sly adopted legislation?	٨	Yes 🗷	No □			
If 'Yes', please provide	Permanent Ordinance/R	esolution/Loc	al Law number	r(s): <u>R12-25</u>			
Mayor:	(shot)	ICE/USE ONL					
Comptroller:	And the state of t	Jana	The second secon				
Corporation Counsel:	- M						
Finance Plann	ing	'W/Parks □	Employees	Rules/Special Studies			

THE STEWART W. AND WILLMA C. HOYT FOUNDATION, INC.

Grant Contract	

The Stewart W. and Willma C. Hoyt Foundation is pleased to advise you that its Board has approved a special project grant of \$50,000 to the City of Binghamton for a city-wide environmental initiative focusing on energy efficiency. This Grant No. 0792-AHE-12 is being made in response to your organization's proposal submitted in the Spring of 2012.

<u>PURPOSE</u>: The grant shall be used solely for the purpose stated, and shall be so designated on your organization's records. No part of the funds shall be used:

- To lobby or otherwise attempt to influence legislation.
- To influence the outcome of any specific public election or participate or intervene in any political campaign on behalf of any candidate for public office.
- For any purpose other than charitable, scientific, literary or educational.

BUDGET AND FINANCE: If this grant has been based upon a specific expense budget, a copy of that budget has been attached to this agreement. No changes may be made in budgetary allocations of the grant award, including any interest earned, or savings due to price reduction for equipment or services, without the Hoyt Foundation's written approval. Any portion of the grant not expended as set forth in the budget will be returned to the Hoyt Foundation at the completion of the project or end of the grant period. If the project lacks funding to complete as presented in the proposal, the agency is required to submit a modified scope of plan and budget to The Hoyt Foundation for reconsideration of the grant amount.

<u>PAYMENT</u>: A countersigned copy of this grant agreement contract must be on file in the Foundation office. Applicable conditions (below) must be met.

PROGRAM MONITORING, EVALUATION AND REVIEW: Prior to your last grant payment, a final financial report must be submitted, corresponding in format to the attached budget, if any, and showing a comparison of actual to budgeted expenditures. This report should include a description and appraisal of the project or program funded under this grant. In addition, at the conclusion of the project or grant period, your organization is to furnish a written report on the use of the grant to the Executive Director of the Foundation.

The Hoyt Foundation may monitor and conduct an evaluation of operations under this grant. Such evaluation may include visits from Foundation personnel to observe your program, and to discuss the program with your personnel. This evaluation may also include a review of financial and other records related to activities funded by this grant. Your organization, therefore, agrees to make such records available to authorized representatives of the Foundation.

<u>PUBLICITY AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT:</u> Your organization may issue a news release concerning the grant. However, the Hoyt Foundation shall review the text of any release which should be submitted to the Executive Director not less than ten days prior to the release date.

Other forms of acknowledgment, such as listings in programs and annual reports, or on dedicatory plaques, should be confirmed with the Executive Director of the Foundation.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

- 1. The grant period is October 2012 through January 2014. If necessary, the end date may be adjusted by mutual consent. (These dates are a function of Foundation records, and do not reflect either payment dates or beginning/end program dates.)
- 2. Two Interim Reports will be submitted to the Foundation in December 2012 and June 2013. A Final Report will be due in January 2014. (See attached report/payment schedule for details). Report forms can be obtained on our website at www.hoytfoundation.org.
- 3. Key personnel are critical to the success of a project. Accordingly, the Foundation is to be notified in writing when the project director, for any reason is no longer working with the project. Such notification should include the agency's plan for filling the position, and a statement of expected impact upon the project, including changes in the timeline and/or budget.
 - Upon a change in key personnel for the project, the Foundation reserves the right to review the grant, and to determine whether or not to withhold remaining payments.
- 4. A revised budget/plan should be submitted prior to the first grant payment if changes to the budget/plan have occurred since the proposal was submitted.
- 5. Funds are to be paid as reflected on the attached reporting/payment schedule which may be adjusted if necessary and mutually agreeable to the Foundation and to the grantee agency. A "Request for Payment" form can be obtained on our website at www.hoytfoundation.org.
- 6. Hoyt funds are contingent upon receipt of matching funds of \$50,000 from the Funder's Network Local Sustainability Matching Funds. If matching funds of \$50,000 come from a different source, Hoyt reserves the right to approve those sources as an acceptable match.
- 7. Include a detailed budget and narrative update with each report along with invoices and receipts.

In the unlikely event that your organization should fail to abide by the terms set forth in this letter of agreement, the Hoyt Foundation may terminate the grant, upon written notice to

your organization. Your organization would then be required to return to the Foundation any portion of the grant funds, including interest earned, spent for purposes not specified in this letter or not otherwise approved by the Foundation.

To confirm your understanding of and agreement to the above conditions of this grant, please sign this contract and make a copy for your files before returning this signed original to the Hoyt Foundation.

By signing this contract, you also agree that:

- 1. Your organization's charitable tax exempt status under the Internal Revenue Service has not been revoked or modified, and that if it is revoked or modified, you agree to notify the Foundation immediately.
- 2. The Hoyt Foundation assumes no obligation to provide other or additional support.

Car	herrie a. Dehworff	7
Catherine	A. Schwoeffermann, Executive Director	-
	,	
	/ M < 11 ()	
SIGNED:	Mattew Ky	
	Matthew Ryan, Mayor, City of Binghamton	
T) A TY:.	7/02/12	

C. Uniform Grant Application Budget Narrative

Budget Period: January 1, 2013-December 31, 2013

Requested Funding: \$50,000 Total Project Cost: \$100,000

CATEGORIES	Total Budget	Hoyt Foundation	The Funders Network LSMF	GJGNY Southern Tier Outreach Program*
REVENUE	\$ -	·		\$ -
EXPENSES - ELP				
1. ELP Coordinator Salary	\$ 27,000.00	\$27,000.00		\$20,000.00
2. Intern Salaries	\$ 38,000.00	\$3,000	\$ 35,000.00	\$ -
3. Equipment	\$ 3,500.00	\$	\$3,500	\$
4. Mileage	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	\$ 1,500.00
5. Training	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00
6. Printing & Materials	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	\$ 1,250.00
7. CCE Admin	\$ 6,500.00	\$ -	\$ 6,500.00	\$ -
8. Advertising	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00
Subtotal	\$ 90,000.00	\$45,000	\$45,000	
EXPENSES - ECAP Task Force			:	
9. ECAP Implementation Fund	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 26,250.00

^{*}While the GJGNY Outreach program is separate from the ELP, they are complimentary programs which share personnel and have complimentary budgets for personnel, training, printing, travel, and advertising expenses.

FINAL GRANT PAYMENT

Financial Documentation

Before release of the final payment on the schedule, the Foundation will need to receive an accounting of expenses paid for by the grant. Please include the following:

- Copies of invoices or bills for items exceeding \$1,000
- A summary of all expenses (may be by category) signed by the Finance Officer

Timing

Please allow ample time to process the final payment. In general, three to four weeks will be sufficient. Payments exceeding \$50,000 may need more time.

Please call the Foundation office with any questions.

HOYT FOUNDATION GRANT # 0792-AHE-12

GRANTEE: CITY OF BINGHAMTON

GRANT PERIOD: OCTOBER 2012 THROUGH January 2014

REPORT/PAYMENT SCHEDULE

REPORTS	PAYMENTS		
Month and Year Due	Month and Year	Amount	
Interim Report: Dec. 2012 w/narrative	After funding from	\$50,000	
	other source is		
	expended		
Interim Report: June 2013 w/narrative			
Final Report: Jan. 2014 w/narrative			
·			

Introductory No. R12-24

Permanent No. R12-25



THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: April 18, 2012

Sponsored by Council Members: Motsavage, Matzo, Rennia, Mihalko, Papastrat

Introduced by Committee: Finance

RESOLUTION

entitled

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH AND ACCEPT UP TO \$50,000 IN GRANT FUNDS FROM THE HOYT FOUNDATION AND \$50,000 IN GRANT FUNDS FROM THE FUNDERS' NETWORK LOCAL SUSTAINABILITY MATCHING FUND

WHEREAS, the City of Binghamton is eligible to receive up to \$50,000.00 from the Hoyt Foundation and up to \$50,000.00 from the Funders' Network Local Sustainability Matching Fund Program in order to continue the City of Binghamton's Energy Leadership Program and to fund an Energy and Climate Action Plan Implementation fund; and

WHEREAS, there is no required City match, the City of Binghamton's Sustainable Development Planner shall be the grant administrator, and the anticipated date of project completion is 2014.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session, does hereby:

RESOLVE that the Council of the City of Binghamton does hereby approve, endorse and ratify the application and acceptance of a grant of up to \$50,000.00 from the Hoyt Foundation and a grant of up to \$50,000.00 from the Funders' Network Local Sustainability Matching Fund Program in order to continue the City of Binghamton's Energy Leadership Program and to fund an Energy and Climate Action Plan Implementation fund; and be it further

RESOLVED that there is no required City match, the City of Binghamton's Sustainable Development Planner shall be the grant administrator, and the anticipated date of project

	•		36.56			
			Ayes	Nays	Abstain	Absent
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR		Motsavage	>			
TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION AND ENTER		Mihalko	>			
INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH AND ACCEPT UP		Rennia	ŗ	alatera (Maria		WINDOWS TO THE POST OF THE POS
TO \$50,000 IN GRANT FUNDS FROM THE HOYT	200000	Webb	1.1		X-:	>
<u> </u>	- Control of the Control	Papastrat	>			
STICTA DI TAY NOT THE TOUGH		Matzo	>			-
UND TOURS I THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR		Berg	>			
		Total	9	0	0	
The within Resolution was adopted by the Council of		1	, see 9			
the City of Binghamton.		☐ Code of the City of Binghamton	he City o	of Bingha	ımton	
		19 Q				
		· [2	Ĺ			
からけった。台で		N Adopted	j	☐ Defeated		
Date .		S	6	(4-
		Ayes O Nays O Abstain	2 ()) 8	Abstain _	\ Absen
25 C C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S						
CityCleik	1.5					
<i>)</i>						
うなって、これでは						
ed to						
,	ť					
MARIC 24 2017						
Date Annroyad						
		-				
///SPEB-72						
Mayor						
To Breat						

Sponsored by City Council Members: Motsavage, Matzo, Rennia, Mihalko, Papastrat

R12-24

Introductory No.

R12-25

Permanent No.

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

GRANT APPLICATION WORKSHEET

The Request for Legislation must include the project title and the purpose of the grant.

Please provide the following additional information.

Agency providing the grant: Hoyt Foundation & the Funders' Network for Smart Growth & Livable Com.				
Total project cost: \$100,000				
Total amount of grant: \$100,000 (\$50k Hoyt & \$50k Funders' Network)				
Local match (if any): \$0				
If local match is monetary, provide the budget line and title:				
If local match is "in kind", provide the anticipated personnel and hours to be dedicated to the project:				
Disbursement of grant (upfront, reimbursable?): Upfront				
If reimbursable, source of funds pending reimbursement:				
Grant project manager: Amelia LoDolce, Sustainable Development Planner				
Anticipated date of project completion: 2014				
Special project completion requirements (if any):				
Attach any required form of Resolution from the Agency providing the grant.				
Please provide any additional information in the space provided below, including any other government agency or private partner participating in the grant, along with a description of such participation:				
The Hoyt Foundation is considering partnering with the City to pursue a grant through the Funders'				
Network Local Sustainability Matching Fund program (LSMF). The fund provides matching investments				
from national foundations on a competitive basis to build partnerships between sustainability directors				
and local place-based foundations to advance sustainability initiatives. The City must first apply to Hoyt				
to secure matching funds, and then will apply to the LSMF in the fall. These grant funds be used to				
continue the work of the Energy Leadership Program (\$90,000) and to fund an Energy and Climate				
Action Plan (ECAP) Implementation Fund (\$10,000), which will be overseen by the ECAP Task Force				



RL Number:

13-31

Date Submitted:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Request submitted by	: Charlie Pearsall				
Title/Department:	Comptroller / Finance				
Contact Information:	clpearsall@cityofbinghamton.com, 607.772.7011				
	RL Inform	ation			
Proposed Title:	An ordinance authorizing the iss	suance of \$4,018,586	in serial bonds to		
finance 2013 capital projects					
Suggested Content:	Encoding Ticket Dispencer for I	Ramps \$18,586. Con	npactor & Structure for		
\$100,000 Public Works. Salt Sto	orage Rehab \$750,000 and Street	: Reconstruction \$1,00	00,000 for Engineering.		
Water Lines \$750,000	for Water. Sewer Lines \$1,000,0	00 for Sewer. Also: T	raffic Signals \$400,000 for		
Public Works that had	been originally issued in 2007 bu	t paid off in '11 as fun	ds were not needed then.		
	Additional Info	ormation			
Does this RL concern g	grant funding?	Yes □	No 🛮		
If 'Yes', is the required RL Grant Worksheet attached?		Yes □	No □		
Is additional information related to the RL attached?		Yes □	No 🗉		
Is RL related to previously adopted legislation? Yes □		No 🛮			
If 'Yes', please provide	e Permanent Ordinance/Resolutio	n/Local Law number((s):		
	OFFICE USE	OXLY			
Mayor:					
Comptroller:	Lilling the second seco	will _			
Corporation Counsel	and the state of t	Control of the second s			
Finance Plan	ning MPA PW/Parks	□ Employees □	Rules/Special Studies		

Introductory No. 012-11 Permanent No. 012-12



THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: February 22, 2012

Sponsored by Council Members: Webb, Motsavage, Matzo, Rennia, Mihalko, Berg, Papastrat

Introduced by Committee: Finance

ORDINANCE entitled

ORDINANCE **AUTHORIZING** ISSUANCE OF \$3,500,000 IN SERIAL BONDS

WHEREAS, the City of Binghamton wishes to finance certain capital projects as set forth in the attached "Exhibit A"; and

TO FINANCE 2012 CAPITAL PROJECTS

WHEREAS, in order to finance these projects, it is necessary for the Council of the City of Binghamton to adopt a Bond Ordinance, the full text of which is attached hereto as "Exhibit В".

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session, does hereby ordain as follows:

That this Bond Ordinance, authorizing the issuance of serial bonds in an Section 1. amount not to exceed Three Million, Five Hundred Thousand (\$3,500,000.00) by the Council of the City of Binghamton, annexed hereto as "Exhibit B", is hereby approved.

That this Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon (1) adoption by the Section 2. Council of the City of Binghamton and approved in writing by the Mayor of the City of Binghamton in the manner prescribed by law; (2) its approval in writing by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment of the City of Binghamton; and (3) proper publication.

Encolling Tocket DB gencer (8,586) I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of the legislation adopted by the Council of the City of Binghamton at a meeting held on 1/12/12. Approved by the Mayor on 2/13/12 Wingla Japeth Start Saving Rehals 750,000

Shoot Reconstruction (,000,000

Water lines (,000,000)

To Construction (,000,000)

Traffin Signals

4,018,586

Legislative Branch

RL Number: 13-33
Date Submitted: 2411/2

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated from within City Hall departments must be submitted to the Mayor, Comptroller and Corporation Counsel for review before submission. RLs generated by citizens may be submitted directly to the City Clerk's Office.

Applicant Information

Request submitted by:	Charlie Pearsall (OBO	Chief Thoma	s)			
Title/Department:	itle/Department: Comptroller / Finance					
Contact Information:	Contact Information: clpearsall@cityofbinghamton.com					
RL Information						
Proposed Title:	Proposed Title: Ordinance authorizing the acceptance of \$200 from NYSEG for the Fire					
Department						
		44.4.				
Suggested Content:	See similar ordinance at	ttached. Plea	se use accoun	t H.42705 (Gifts & Dona-		
tions) and H3410.55001	(Fire Equip/Repair) so t	he donation n	nay be applied	to the 2013 Budget.		
	Addition	nal Informat	ion			
Does this RL concern gr	ant funding?	· ·	Yes □	No 🗷		
If 'Yes', is the required	RL Grant Worksheet atta	ched?	Yes □	No 🗆		
Is additional information	related to the RL attache	ed?	Yes 🗷	No □		
Is RL related to previous	is RL related to previously adopted legislation? Yes No No					
If 'Yes', please provide Permanent Ordinance/Resolution/Local/Law number(s): O12-21						
		Exsposity	/			
Mayor:		SSECTION				
Comptroller:		English Comments		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Corporation Counsel:	Thin	Six				
Finance Planni	ng □ MPA □ PV	3-00 V/Parks □	Employees 🗆	Rules/Special Studies 🗆		

Introductory No. 012-20

Permanent No. 012-21



THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: March 21, 2012

Sponsored by Council Members: Webb, Motsavage, Matzo, Mihalko, Papastrat

Introduced by Committee: Finance

ORDINANCE

ORDINANCE **AUTHORIZING** AN ACCEPTANCE OF \$400 FROM NYSEG FOR THE FIRE BUREAU

WHEREAS, the New York State Electric & Gas Company has contacted the City of Binghamton expressing a desire to donate to the Fire Bureau a gift of \$400.00; and

WHEREAS, the Fire Bureau has use for such funds, and has recommended the acceptance of such gift; and

WHEREAS, the acceptance of this gift does not require the use of City funds.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session, does hereby ordain as follows:

That the City of Binghamton is hereby authorized to accept a gift of Section 1. \$400.00 from the New York State Electric & Gas Company on behalf of the Fire Bureau.

That the Comptroller and Treasurer of the City of Binghamton are hereby authorized and directed to increase the 2012 estimated revenue line A42705 (Gifts & Donations) by \$400.00, and to increase the 2012 expenditure line A3410.54110 (Vehicle Parts) by \$400.00.

H42705 & H3410. 550001 Five Equip! Report

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of the legislation adopted by the Council of the City of Binghamton at a meeting held on 3/21/12 . Approved by the

Page 36 of 85

New York State Electric & Gas Corp. NYSEG Corporate Drive Binghamton , NY 13902-5224

VENDOR ADDRESS:

City of Binghamton Fire Dept. 38 HAWLEY STREET BINGHAMTON NY 13901 VENDOR NO: DONATIONS

Document	Reference No	Date	PO No	Gross	Deductions	Net Amt
*3001203346 Contribution - Fi	BINGHAMTON121 ire Dept Energy Susta		012 NO Purch Order	 200.00	0.00	200.00
Sum total	**************************************			200.00	0.00	200.00

 Payment document
 Check number
 Date
 Currency
 Payment amount

 2500427320
 0013530860
 12/24/2012
 USD
 ***********200.00*

REMOVE DOCUMENT ALONG THIS PERFORATION

New York State Electric & Gas Corp.

NYSEG

Corporate Drive

Binghamton , NY 13902-5224

*** TWO HUNDRED USD***

PAY TO THE ORDER OF: City of Binghamton Fire Dept. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK 10081 NEW YORK CITY

50-937 213 Check No: 001353086(

DATE 12/24/2012

USD ********200.00*

-1 1 -1 Suta

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

"OO13530860" ::O21309379:: 601236839"

THIS DOCUMENT IS PRINTED IN TWO COLORS. DO NOT ACCEPT UNLESS BLUE



December 21, 2012

Dear Fire Department Chief:

As a token of our sincere appreciation and gratitude for all the invaluable assistance that you and your department have provided NYSEG during storm and service related emergencies throughout 2012, we would like to recognize your support with this monetary donation.

We look forward to continuing our strong working relationship in the future.

Best regards,

Quant y. Dass

Bob Pass

Regional Community Outreach & Development Manager

H' Gift

A 3410 : Repair see 012-21

Expurp Repair

RESOLUTION APPROVING AGREEMENT AMENDMENT NO. ______, TO THE INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON AND THE VILLAGE OF JOHNSON CITY, REGARDING THE BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY

WHEREAS, on July 14, 1965, the City of Binghamton ("City") and the Village of Johnson City ("Village") entered into a Intermunicipal Agreement for joint ownership and operation of a sewage treatment plant and related facilities known as the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Treatment Plant (the "JSTP"); and

WHEREAS, the City and the Village have amended the Intermunicipal Agreement from time to time; and

WHEREAS, the Binghamton City Council and the Village of Johnson City Board of Trustees wish to further amend their Agreement as provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, the [Council of the City of Binghamton/ Board of Trustees of the Village of Johnson City], duly convened in regular session, does hereby:

RESOLVED, that the Mayor, or his designee, is hereby authorized to enter into an Agreement with the [Village/City] to amend paragraph 1.5 of the Agreement as follows:

1.5. The Comptroller of the City shall be the fiscal officer of the Board. In addition to his official bond as such Comptroller, he shall file, during the term of office as fiscal officer, a bond in favor of both parties in such penal sum as may be determined by the Board, conditioned upon his faithful performance of the trust imposed upon him. He shall at all times be deemed an employee of the City.

The fiscal officer shall deposit all monies received by him on account of the Board in a special account in a bank or trust company in the City of Binghamton designated as an official depository by the Board. Expenditures shall be made only upon order and direction of the Board in accordance with the provisions of Section 119 of the General Municipal Law. Claims against the Board shall be in such form as the fiscal officer shall prescribe. Claims against the Board shall not be paid unless approved by the Board, or by a committee thereof designated by the Board, and shall have been presented to and audited by the fiscal officer, in accordance with the provisions of Section 119-0 of the General Municipal Law. The committee designated by the Board shall include an equal number of Board members appointed by the City Mayor and the Village Mayor.

In the event that the Village of Johnson City becomes a city and establishes the office of Comptroller, or in the event that the Village of Johnson City, while remaining a village, establishes the office of Comptroller, the office of fiscal officer shall change from year to year, and shall alternate between the Comptroller of the City of Binghamton and the Comptroller of the City or Village of Johnson City.

[EXPLANATION: Matter in *Italics* is new; matter which is Stricken is deleted.]

RESOLVED, that except as amended herein, the Intermunicipal Agreement, as previously amended, shall remain in full force and effect. Page 39 of 85

BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE BOARD ("Board")

WORKING DRAFT

PART 1

PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENT TO IMA-I in support of EXPEDITING THE PAYMENT OF SMALL AND ROUTINE CLAIMS

Delete the second sentence of the second paragraph in Section 15 of Inter-Municipal Agreement I, and replace with:

Claims against the Board shall be in such form as the fiscal officer shall prescribe and shall be approved by the Board, or its designee as set forth by the Board in writing. No such approved claim shall be paid unless it shall have been presented to the fiscal officer and shall have been audited by the fiscal officer in accordance with Section 119-0 of the General Municipal Law.

PART 2

PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENT TO PROCUREMENT POLICY OF THE BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE BOARD in support of EXPEDITING THE PAYMENT OF SMALL AND ROUTINE CLAIMS

Add Articles XIV and XV, as follows:

XIV. CLAIMS PROCESSING PROCEDURES

14.1 Overview. Although authority to act on a day-to-day basis may be delegated, the Board is ultimately responsible for the approval, audit and payment of claims from the Joint Sewage Board's budget and bank accounts. The Board's responsibility to oversee the audit of claims is a potentially strong internal control because it segregates key functions – management's purchase of goods and services and the issuance of payments for those goods and services. The approval and audit of claims are often the last lines of defense for preventing

erroneous, improper, unauthorized, or even fraudulent claims from being paid. All department heads and employees should be made aware that a careful review of claims will occur before approval is granted and public funds are disbursed. This policy is designed in accordance with guidance of the New York State Comptroller so as to segregate:
[i] purchasing/ordering authority, [ii] claims review and approval authority, and [iii] final audit and payment authority as to a given class/type of claims.

- 14.2 <u>Claims Processing Steps and Schedule</u>. Claims are processed in batches under a weekly cycle that is intended to expedite payment of approved and satisfactorily audited claims. The first step of the process is receipt of vendor invoices by the Account Clerk, who prepares the claim form, compiles/attaches supportingdocumentation, and arranges for the purchasing employee to verify satisfactory receipt of the goods, commodities, and/or services covered by the vendor's invoice. The individual or committee with "Purchasing/Ordering Authority" designated in Section 14.4, below, may also be consulted at this step. Vendor invoices thus verified by close of business on the last business day of the week are included on an abstract, known as the "Board List", listing:
 - a. Claim Number (in consecutive sequence under the applicable category)
 - b. Invoice Date[s] (multiple invoices per vendor may be consolidated in a single claim)
 - c. Invoice Number[s]
 - d. Claim Amount, broken down by budget line and, where applicable, project code to be charged
 - e. Vendor name
 - f. Brief description of the goods, commodities, and/or services purchased
 - g. Budget line[s] and, where applicable, project code[s] the claim is to be charged against
 - h. Procurement method
 - i. Where applicable, running total year-to-date of the "Purchasing/Ordering Authority's" cumulative actions under a given procurement method

The Board List with the claims is then provided to the "Claims Reviewer/Approver" designated in Section 14.4, below, on the first business day of the following week, and further processing, review, and approval takes place in accordance with the flow chart appearing on the following page.

Monday (or first business day of week if Monday is a Holiday):

- 1. "Claims Reviewer/Approver" reviews claims (already reviewed by "Purchasing/Ordering Authority").
- Emails listing to Board, with approval recommendation. Board Members may send questions to "Spot Checker".

+ 1 day

Tuesday/Wednesday (omit one if a Holiday):

+7 days

- 3. "Spot Checker" reviews listing and selected claims.
 Obtains and forwards answers to questions received.
- 4. If 2 Board Members object to a claim, it will be withdrawn from the Board List until next meeting.

+ 2 days

Thursday/Friday (omit one if Holiday):

- 5. "Claims Approver" checks-off MUNIS.
- Claims are delivered to the City Comptroller's Office for final audit and payment.

Following Friday:

- 6. Audit and of claims is completed by the City Comptroller.
- 7. Ledgering and Check Printing/Signing

+ 3 days

Following Business Day:

8. Checks are mailed out.

- 3 -

14.3 Claims Review and Approval Criteria. Approval of claims entails a thorough and deliberate examination to determine that the claim is a legal obligation and proper charge against the Board. As a general rule, a claim package should contain enough detail and documentation so that the "Claims Reviewer/Approver" assigned in Section 14.4, below, is supplied with sufficient information to make that determination. The following criteria shall be satisfied in order to approve a claim:

• Is the claim for a valid and legal purpose?

First and foremost, each claim must be for a legitimate purpose of the Board. Examples of claims that are not for a legitimate purpose include any claims for which services or goods were not received, gifts and donations to private entities in violation of Article VIII, Section 1 of the State Constitution (prohibiting local government entities from making gifts or loans of money or property to or in aid of any individual, or private corporation, association or undertaking), travel expenses of spouses of officers and employees, and personal entertainment (for example, expenses for alcoholic beverages generally are not for a proper local governmental purpose).

• Has this claim been paid before, in whole or in part?

For vendors with frequent and similar claims, ensure that the current claim is not a duplicate of a previous claim and that current billing does not include the same goods or services included in a prior claim. For installment purchases, it may be necessary to ensure that the payment is not for an expired contract and that the entire contract has not been paid previously.

• Does the claim meet the legal and policy requirements in relation to competitive bidding and the requirements of the Board's Procurement Policy?

Competitive bidding is generally required for goods and commodities purchased over \$20,000 and for contracts for services, including public works (e.g., construction, services other than professional services) over \$35,000. If the claim is for an expenditure that requires competitive bidding, be sure there is documentation available to support that the lowest responsible bidder was awarded the contract after public advertisement for sealed bids. (See Articles IV, X, and XI for further information about formal competitive bidding).

• Was the purchase authorized and approved?

All required approvals and authorizations should be documented or attached to the claim form. The "Purchasing/Ordering Authority" who initiated the purchase should document his/her approval of the claim, even when not required by law. If vendor certification or verification of claims is required (for example, certified payrolls as to public works), the claim should be scrutinized to ensure proper certification or verification.

• Was the purchase made by using a State, County, City, or Village contract (as an exception to bidding requirements) and is this information included on the claim form?

If the purchase was made from a State, County, City, or Village contract that has been extended to local governmental units such as the Board, the contract number should be included on the claim. The person who approved the purchase should be able to provide a copy of the contract that was used.

Were the goods or services actually received?

There should be documentation that confirms that the goods were received or services rendered, e.g., a receiving slip.

• Is the claim mathematically correct?

All claims should be scanned for the reasonableness of mathematical calculations. When extensions ([quantities] x [unit price]) and totals do not appear reasonable, the claim should be mathematically verified. Calculations for discounts should also be verified when necessary.

• Is the claim sufficiently itemized?

The claim should be understandable to someone unfamiliar with the transaction. Information like weight, quantity, size, grade, unit price and totals should be provided. Part numbers or abbreviations should be supplemented by a full description of the goods or services provided. Claims for multiple deliveries of similar items, such as gas and fuel oil, should be supported by delivery tickets signed by the person accepting delivery.

Are there any sales tax charges for exempt expenses?

The Board is generally exempt from paying sales tax. Therefore, sales tax should not be included on the claim. One exception to this, in accordance with footnote 3 on page 7 of the New York State Comptroller's July 2010 guidance pamphlet, *Improving the Effectiveness of Your Claims Auditing Process*, is that because it is not practical to present a sales tax exemption form for individual restaurant meals and it is not a common practice for restaurants to accept exemption forms, the Board considers sales tax to be an actual and necessary expense incidental to the meal when incurred in connection with travel on official business.

• Is there any interest or finance charge?

Generally, the Board's contracts prohibit the charging of interest, carrying, or finance charges. If any of these appear on any invoice, the applicable contract should be consulted and, where not authorized, the vendor shall be contacted to remove all unauthorized charges of this type.

Does the attached documentation support the claim being reviewed for approval?

The approved purchase order, if applicable, should match the goods or services on the original invoice and/or the claim form. The original invoice should agree with

the total being claimed for payment. "Past due" amounts should not be approved unless the original invoices are attached to support the amount claimed as past due.

• Does the claim include all discounts that the Board is entitled to?

Bulk purchases or early payments may entitle the Board to receive discounts on purchases. When applicable, these shall be documented with the claim.

Are there sufficient appropriations to pay the claim?

Generally, no claim should be paid if sufficient budgetary appropriations are not available. In many cases, the availability of appropriations is verified electronically, usually as part of the purchase order or the accounts payable software. If a question exists, the Fiscal Officer should be consulted. Where necessary, a budget transfer request should be made via the Business Manager to the Board. Ideally, this request would be made before the purchase is made, but in any event, before the claim is approved.

Have other adopted policies been followed?

In addition to the Board's Procurement Policy, there may be other adopted policies that cover specific types of expenses such as travel and conference expenses and reimbursement for meals or other food served at meetings.

14.4 Claims Approval Procedure and Authority. Claims are reviewed and approved as indicated in the following tables. The fundamental principle reflected by the tables is to segregate: [i] purchasing/ordering authority, [ii] claims review and approval authority, and [iii] final audit and payment authority. When the assigned "Claims Reviewer/Approver" is not available, then the "Default" or "Appeal" authority is to be consulted. Further, when a member of the Finance Committee is not available, the Board Member who is of the same Owner municipality as the absent Finance Committee Member shall be the designated substitute to participate in the claims review and approval process during the absence. Claims not reviewed and approved under the authorities designated below shall be reviewed and approved by the Board.

(continues on next page)

<u>ITEM</u>	RANGE	"Positive Authority" to Purchase/Order	Authority to Review and Approve Request for Payment (and e-mail Report to Board)	Authority to Spot-Check	Authority to Check-Off on MUNIS (if required or "option" elected)	Absence or Appeal Review and Payment Approval Authority	Audit Claim and Issue Payment
GOOD	S / COMMODITIES						
	up to \$3,500 / purchase order [PO]	Business Manager (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	Finance Committee	Fiscal Officer
	\$3,500.01 up to \$5,000 / PO	Business Manager	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	Finance Committee	Fiscal Officer
	\$5,000.01 up to \$10,000 / PO	Business Manager	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	Finance Committee	Fiscal Officer
	\$10,000.01 up to \$20,000 / PO * * - unless "excepted" below	Finance Committee	Board	n/a (100% review/approval)	Board Chairman, Vice Chaiman if Chairman absent, (or designee by Board Chairman in writing)	Board (as to purchasing authority only)	Fiscal Officer
	>\$20,000 / PO * * - unless "excepted" below	Board	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	N/A (re-work claim docs. until approvable)	Fiscal Officer
EX	CEPTIONS (GOODS / COMMODITIE	<u>ES):</u>					
	Utilities and recurring payments to other governments, or under "piggy-back" contracts of other governmental entities regardless of amount	n/a (but Board must approve a change in service/provider)	Business Manager	Finance Committee	Business Manager (or designee by Business Manager in writing)	Superintendent	Fiscal Officer
	Payments under Board-awarded signed contracts regardless of amount	when ordering is by someone other than Business Manager	Business Manager	Finance Committee	Business Manager (or designee by Business Manager in writing)	Superintendent	Fiscal Officer

<u>ITEM</u>	RANGE	"Positive Authority" to Purchase/Order	Authority to Review and Approve Request for Payment (and e-mail Report to Board)	Authority to Spot-Check	Authority to Check-Off on MUNIS (if required or "option" elected)	Absence or Appeal Review and Payment Approval Authority	Audit Claim and Issue Payment
SERVIO	CES / PUBLIC WORKS (OTHER TH	AN PROFESSIONAL	SERVICES OR INSURANG	CE [which are covered	on the following page	2))	
NO	TE: for courier/shipping/freight - pro	cess as if goods or con	nmodity, above				
	up to \$5,000/contract	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Executive Committee * (* - or alternate ap- pointed by Board when Exec. Comm. Member also serves on Finance Comm.)	Finance Committee Chair (or designee by Finance Comm. Chair in writing)	Board	Fiscal Officer
	\$5,000.01 up to \$12,500/contract	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Executive Committee * (* - or alternate appointed by Board when Exec. Comm. Member also serves on Finance Comm.)	Finance Committee Chair (or designee by Finance Comm. Chair in writing)	Board	Fiscal Officer
	\$12,500.01 up to \$20,000/contract	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Executive Committee * (* - or alternate appointed by Board when Exec. Comm. Member also serves on Finance Comm.)	Finance Committee Chair (or designee by Finance Comm. Chair in writing)	Board	Fiscal Officer
	\$20,000.01 up to \$35,000/contract (unless "excepted" below)	Finance Committee	Board	n/a (100% review/approval)	Board Chairman, Vice Chaiman if Chairman absent, (or designee by Board Chairman in writing)	Board (as to purchasing authority only)	Fiscal Officer
	>\$35,000/contract (unless "excepted" below)	Board	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	N/A (re-work claim docs. until approvable)	Fiscal Officer
EX	CEPTIONS (SERVICES / PUBLIC V	VORKS):					
	Board-awarded signed contracts regardless of amount	when ordering/dir- ection or work is by someone other than Business Manager	Business Manager	Finance Committee	Business Manager (or designee by Business Manager in writing)	Superintendent	Fiscal Officer

<u>ITEM</u>	RANGE	"Positive Authority" to Purchase/Order	Authority to Review and Approve Request for Payment (and e-mail Report to Board)	Authority to Spot-Check	Authority to Check-Off on MUNIS (if required or "option" elected)	Absence or Appeal Review and Payment Approval Authority	Audit Claim and Issue Payment
PROFE	SSIONAL SERVICES / INSURANC	Ē					
	up to \$7,500/contract	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Executive Committee * (* - or alternate appointed by Board when Exec. Comm. Member also serves on Finance Comm.)	Finance Committee Chair (or designee by Finance Comm. Chair in writing)	Board	Fiscal Officer
	\$7,500.01 up to \$15,000/contract (unless "excepted" below)	Finance Committee	Board	n/a (100% review/approval)	Board Chairman, Vice Chaiman if Chairman absent, (or designee by Board Chairman in writing)	Board (as to purchasing authority only)	Fiscal Officer
	>\$15,000/contract (unless "excepted" below)	Board	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	N/A (re-work claim docs. until approvable)	Fiscal Officer
EX	EXCEPTIONS (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES / INSURANCE):						
	Board-awarded signed contracts regardless of amount	when ordering/dir- ection of work is by someone other than Business Manager	Business Manager	Finance Committee	Business Manager (or designee by Business Manager in writing)	Superintendent	Fiscal Officer

	<u>ITEM</u>	RANGE	"Positive Authority" to Purchase/Order	Authority to Review and Approve Request for Payment (and e-mail Report to Board)	Authority to Spot-Check	Authority to Check-Off on MUNIS (if required or "option" elected)	Absence or Appeal Review and Payment Approval Authority	Audit Claim and Issue Payment
	TRUE	LEASES	(note: a true lease is	one with no option to purc	hase the leased item/pro	operty [if an option to p	ourchase, process same	as commodity])
		up to 12 months AND up to \$6,000/lease	Business Manager	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	Finance Committee	Fiscal Officer
		>12 months AND \$6,000.01 up to \$20,000/lease	Finance Committee	Board	n/a (100% review/approval)	Board Chairman, Vice Chaiman if Chairman absent, (or designee by Board Chairman in writing)	Board (as to purchasing authority only)	Fiscal Officer
- 10 -		>any length AND > \$20,000/lease	Board	Superintendent	Finance Committee	Superintendent (or designee by Superintendent in writing)	N/A (re-work claim docs. until approvable)	Fiscal Officer
	ITEM	RANGE	"Positive Authority" to Employ/Schedule	Authority to Review and Approve Request for Payment	Authority to Spot-Check	Authority to Check-Off on MUNIS (if required or "option" elected)	Absence or Appeal Review and Payment Approval Authority	Audit Claim and Issue Payment
	te o\	ages under CBA, fixed salaries, mporary employees, stipends, vertime, longevity, allowances, and ssociated payroll deductions)	Superintendent	Binghamton Civil Ser- vice Commission and Fiscal Officer	Personnel Committee	n/a	Board	Payroll Vendor (ADP)

XV. CLAIMS FINAL AUDIT AND PAYMENT RESPONSIBILITIES

- 15.1 **Overview**. By law, the Fiscal Officer is responsible for the final audit and payment of claims in accordance with Section 119-o(2)(h) of the General Municipal Law as well as the governing Inter-Municipal Agreements. (*In the absence of the Fiscal Officer, the Deputy Comptroller of the City of Binghamton may act in the stead of the Fiscal Officer*).
- 15.2 Procedure. Approved claims (in accordance with Article XIV, above) shall be delivered to the office of the Fiscal Officer by 3:00pm local time on Friday. (When the Friday is a legal holiday, the goal is to deliver approved claims by Thursday, but in no case later than noon on the business day following the holiday). An inventory list of the approved claims delivered shall be presented with the claims, and a copy thereof shall be signed/stamped as receipted by the receiving official. The Fiscal Officer shall audit the approved claims in accordance with applicable law and generally-accepted governmental accounting procedures by 3:00pm local time on the Friday which is at least three business days following delivery of the approved claims. Upon satisfactory audit, the Fiscal Officer shall post the claim to the Board's accounting system as a charge against the budget line[s] and, as applicable, project code[s] assigned and issue payment. In the event that the audit of a claim results in an unsatisfactory determination, the Fiscal Officer shall notify the "Claims Approver" of the audit determination and reasons therefor in writing (for this purpose, e-mail is considered to be "in writing") with copies to the Superintendent, Business Manager, Account Clerk, and Sewage Board Finance Committee.

(unchanged proposed wording)

XVI. UNINTENTIONAL FAILURE TO COMPLY

16.1 The unintentional failure to comply fully with the provisions of General Municipal Law Section 104-b or the terms of this Procurement Policy and Procedures document shall not be grounds to void action taken or give rise to a cause of action against the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Board, any member, officer or employee thereof, or the Owners of the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Project.

XVII. ANNUAL REVIEW OF POLICY AND ITS ACTUAL IMPLEMENTATION

- 17.1 The Board shall annually review and, when needed, update this Procurement Policy and Procedures document. Such review may be delegated by the Board to the Finance Committee, initially, and the Committee shall thereafter report the results of the review to the Board together with any recommendations for updating this document
- 17.2 The Board's Finance Committee shall be responsible for conducting an annual evaluation of the effectiveness of this Procurement Policy and Procedures document and an evaluation of the control procedures established to ensure compliance with the Procurement Policy, and shall be responsible for reporting the results of the evaluations to the Board.

XVIII. INPUT FROM INTERESTED OFFICIALS AND CONCERNED CITIZENS

18.1 As required by New York General Municipal Law Section 104-b(3), comments have been solicited from the elected executive and legislative officers of the Owner municipalities of the Joint Sewage Project as well as from those including the Superintendent, Business Manager, Department Heads, Account Clerk and others who are involved in the procurement process prior to the adoption of this Procurement Policy and Procedures document, and will be solicited from time to time hereafter from those directly involved in the purchasing process.

XIX. RESOLUTION

19.1 Adopted on (insert date) by (insert numerical results of Board vote) vote of the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Board at (city/village/town where meeting held), New York.

ATTACHMENTS

Glossary

Index

Exhibit "A" – Board-Approved Sole Source List

Exhibit "B" – Listing of Personnel Responsible For or Involved with Purchasing

Exhibit "C" – Article 18 of the New York General Municipal Law



Division of Local Government & School Accountability

Background Checks at Municipal Youth Programs

2012-MS-5



Thomas P. DiNapoli

Table of Contents

		Page
AUTHORITY LET	TTER	2
EXECUTIVE SUM	MARY	3
INTRODUCTION		5
Ва	ackground	5
Ot	bjective	7
Sc	cope and Methodology	7
Co	omments of Local Officials	7
BACKGROUND C	HECK PROCESS	8
No	on-Mandated Reference Checks	8
M	andated Reference Checks	11
Re	ecommendation	13
APPENDIX A Re	esponses From Local Officials	14
	udit Methodology and Standards	16
	ocesses for Background Checks	17
	mployees, Volunteers and Contractors by Municipality	19
	ow to Obtain Additional Copies of the Report	20
	ocal Regional Office Listing	21

State of New York Office of the State Comptroller

Division of Local Government and School Accountability

January 2013

Dear Local Government Officials:

A top priority of the Office of the State Comptroller is to help officials manage resources efficiently and effectively and, by so doing, provide accountability for tax dollars spent to support operations. The Comptroller oversees the fiscal affairs of local governments and school districts statewide, as well as compliance with relevant statutes and observance of good business practices. This fiscal oversight is accomplished, in part, through our audits, which identify opportunities for improving operations and governance. Audits also can identify strategies to reduce costs and to strengthen controls intended to safeguard local government assets.

Following is a report of our audit titled Background Checks at Municipal Youth Programs. This audit was conducted pursuant to Article V, Section 1 of the State Constitution and the State Comptroller's authority as set forth in Article 3 of the General Municipal Law.

This audit's results and recommendations are resources for local government officials to use in effectively managing operations and in meeting the expectations of their constituents. If you have questions about this report, please feel free to contact the local regional office for your county, as listed at the end of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Office of the State Comptroller Division of Local Government and School Accountability



State of New York Office of the State Comptroller

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In response to community interests, municipalities sponsor youth programs that offer a wide variety of activities. A municipality may utilize a parks and recreation department or youth bureau to organize and oversee the programs. Programs can include, but are not limited to, pre-school or afterschool activities, arts and crafts, baking, exercise and fitness, summer camps, seasonal or holiday special events, sports, employment and literacy programs, safety programs, swim programs, and therapeutic¹ programs. With these youth programs, parents are entrusting their children's learning experience and safety to the adults (employees, contractors or volunteers) that the municipality engages to administer the programs.

Background checks are currently required by State law or regulation for individuals who have contact with children in camps, childcare programs, and therapeutic programs. However, this does not cover all of the youth programs that municipalities operate. A municipality can help create a safer environment for community youth through background checks in the hiring and screening of all individuals associated with its youth programs. The eight municipalities included in this audit (Towns of Amherst, Clifton Park, Manlius and Seneca Falls and the Cities of Binghamton, Middletown, New Rochelle and Utica) offered a range of youth program activities to more than 409,000 residents.

Scope and Objective

The objective of our audit was to determine whether municipalities helped create a safe environment for community youth participating in municipally sponsored youth programs for the period January 1, 2010 to May 18, 2012. Our audit addressed the following related question:

• Do municipalities conduct background checks on the individuals delivering youth program services?

Audit Results

We found that seven of the eight municipalities we audited failed to conduct background checks on all of the individuals who deliver their youth program services. Only the Town of Clifton Park annually screened all program personnel against the Division of Criminal Justice Services' Sex Offender Registry and other resources. Two municipalities (the Town of Manlius and the City of New Rochelle) only screened personnel providing programs where the State mandates screening,

¹ Therapeutic programs are programs specifically offered for people with developmental disabilities.

because they believe the application process itself is a deterrent. The remaining five municipalities performed some screening, but did not do it consistently or did not document the date and results of the screening process.

Fortunately, our tests of the 1,994 individuals who delivered youth program services in these municipalities did not identify any persons with sex offender or significant criminal histories. However, given the inherent risk in staffing programs that serve vulnerable populations, it is essential that local officials consistently screen all persons who deliver youth program services.

Comments of Local Officials

The results of our audit and recommendations have been discussed with local officials and their comments, which appear in Appendix A, have been considered in preparing this report.

Introduction

Background

Municipalities offer a wide variety of youth programs in response to community interests. Programs can include, but are not limited to, pre-school or afterschool activities, arts and crafts, baking, exercise and fitness, summer camps, seasonal or holiday special events, sports, employment and literacy programs, safety programs, swim programs, and therapeutic² programs. Municipalities may use a local parks and recreation department or youth bureau to organize and oversee the programs, and engage employees, contractors or volunteers to deliver program services to youth. To help create a safer environment for community youth who participate in these programs, municipalities can perform background checks on individuals who deliver or oversee program services to screen out persons registered as sex offenders or persons who have significant criminal histories.

Background checks are currently required by State law or regulation for individuals who have contact with children in camps, ³ childcare programs, ⁴ and therapeutic programs. ⁵ However, this does not cover all of the youth programs that municipalities operate.

An important resource facilitating background checks is the Sex Offender Registry (Registry). Maintained by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS), the Registry provides information about sex offenders living in New York State communities. Municipalities do not pay a fee for searching

² Therapeutic programs are programs specifically offered for people with developmental disabilities.

³ Public Health Law requires operators of children's camps to determine whether camp employees or volunteers are listed on the DCJS Sexual Offender Registry. This check, which DCJS conducts on names submitted by the municipality, must be completed prior to the day the individual starts work at the camp and annually thereafter. The law applies to all children's camps (day, traveling day, and overnight) and to all prospective employees and volunteers at the camp regardless of their job title/responsibility or employment status.

⁴ Social Service Law requires that criminal histories be reviewed for childcare providers and inquiry made whether individuals who have the potential for regular or substantial contact with children in the childcare program are on file with the Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment.

⁵ The Office of People With Developmental Disabilities requires that providers of therapeutic programs obtain a criminal background check for all individuals working in the programs who will have regular and substantial unsupervised or unrestricted physical contact with people with developmental disabilities.

the Registry or obtaining additional sex offender information from DCJS. Municipalities can also do a criminal history background check at the local level, with the consent of the individual being screened. A criminal history background check can be a name-based search, which relies on the individual's name and social security number to match criminal records, or a fingerprint-based search.⁶

Unfortunately, the risk associated with not performing such screening is unacceptably high. Statistics collected from a Federally funded study program, the Child Safety Pilot Program, which are included in proposed Federal legislation (The Child Protection Improvements Act of 2011), illustrate the need to verify that persons who work in children's programs, including volunteers, have not committed sex offenses or other crimes. Data from fingerprint-based background checks of nearly 68,000 volunteers conducted for the pilot program that ran for six years ending in May 2009 show that the vast majority (94 percent) of volunteers had no criminal history. However, the following was found for the remaining volunteers who did have criminal histories:

- More than 4,000 volunteer applicants (6 percent) had a criminal history of concern, including offenses such as sexual abuse of minors, assault, child cruelty, murder, and serious drug offenses.
- 41 percent of these individuals had criminal histories from other states, which local name-based checks would not have identified.
- 50 percent of these individuals had falsely indicated on their applications that they did not have a criminal history.

We audited eight municipalities across the State that offer youth programs to their more than 409,000 residents. Table 1 provides relevant statistics for the municipalities audited.

⁶ See Appendix C for more information about sex offender registry matching and criminal history background checks.

Table 1: Relevant Statistics for Audited Municipalities						
Municipality County Population 2012 Approximate Budget (in Population millions) 20						
Town of Amherst	Erie	122,000	\$115.2	\$3,800,000		
City of Binghamton	Broome	47,000	\$84.4	\$355,000		
Town of Clifton Park	Saratoga	36,500	\$30.2	\$1,000,000		
Town of Manlius	Onondaga	32,000	\$7.9	\$300,000		
City of Middletown	Orange	25,000	\$50.1	\$1,400,000		
City of New Rochelle	Westchester	77,000	\$108.3	\$370,000		
Town of Seneca Falls	Seneca	9,000	\$10.6	\$800,000		
City of Utica	Oneida	61,000	\$67.3	\$417,000		

Objective

The objective of our audit was to determine whether municipalities helped create a safe environment for community youth participating in municipally sponsored youth programs. Our audit addressed the following related question:

 Do municipalities conduct background checks on the individuals delivering youth program services?

Scope and Methodology

For the period January 1, 2010 to May 18, 2012, we interviewed local officials and staff and reviewed policies and procedures to identify whether controls were established over the hiring process, and to determine if background checks were completed and documented prior to hiring. We also tested individual names against public records⁷ to determine if the safety of the youth participating in the programs was jeopardized.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). More information on such standards and the methodology used in performing this audit are included in Appendix B of this report.

Comments of Local Officials

The results of our audit and recommendations have been discussed with local officials and their comments, which appear in Appendix A, have been considered in preparing this report.

⁷ Records used in testing from New York State were public records including the Registry from the DCJS and state prison records from the Department of Corrections.

Background Check Process

Municipalities that provide sports programs, arts and crafts, swimming lessons, and other youth programs are responsible for ensuring that the individuals they engage to deliver program services as employees, contractors or volunteers are not sex offenders or criminals who could pose a threat to children's safety. In addition, municipalities should consider the legal ramifications of any potential wrongdoing associated with individuals providing services to children under the municipal umbrella.

We found that seven of the eight municipalities⁸ we audited failed to conduct background checks on all of the individuals who deliver their youth program services. Only the Town of Clifton Park annually screened all program personnel against the Registry maintained by DCJS and other resources. Two municipalities (the Town of Manlius and the City of New Rochelle) did not screen applicants at all, except for those personnel providing programs where the State mandates screening, because they believe the application process itself is a deterrent. The remaining five municipalities performed some screening, but did not do it consistently or did not document the date and results of the screening process.

Non-Mandated Reference Checks

Although current State laws do not require municipalities to conduct background checks on all individuals who deliver youth program services, a reasoned assessment of the potential risks to children and the fact that similar requirements exist for related programs demonstrates the value of the practice. Background checks are required currently for individuals who have contact with children in schools, camps, childcare programs, and therapeutic programs. Additionally, State and national youth sports groups recognize the need for background checks, and offer guidance and resources to youth programs seeking to conduct them.

Table 2 summarizes the methods used by each municipality for those programs where background checks are not specifically required by law.

⁸ See Appendix D for the number of employees, volunteers, and contractors used by each municipality.

Table 2 -	Table 2 - Background Checks Performed in Youth Programs (Non-Mandated Checks) ^a						
Municipality	Process Used for Employees	Process Used for Volunteers	Process Used for Contractors				
Town of Clifton Park	NYS Sex Offender Registry – DCJS (employees over 18 years old)	No volunteers used	NYS Sex Offender Registry – DCJS (Proof required from Contractor)				
City of Middletown	Municipality does software searches: NYS Sex Offender Registry, Terrorist Database, Social Security Number Verification, Multi-State named-based criminal history (new employees over 18 years old only)	No volunteers used	No contractors used				
City of Utica	NYS Sex Offender Registry – Website (for all employees) No documentation maintained	NYS Sex Offender Registry – Website (for all volunteers) No documentation maintained	No contractors used				
Town of Seneca Falls	Application as deterrent Local, name-based criminal history (unknown applicants only)	Local, name-based criminal history (unknown applicants only)	No background checks				
City of Binghamton	Local, name-based criminal history NYS Sex Offender Registry - Local (for new employees only/excludes seasonal)	Local, name-based criminal history, NYS Sex Offender Registry – Local	No background checks				
Town of Amherst	Local, name-based criminal history (for new employees only/excludes seasonal)	No background checks	No background checks				
City of New Rochelle	No background checks	No volunteers used	No background checks				
Town of Manlius	No background checks	No background checks	No background checks				
^a See Appendix C for descriptions of the processes used for background checks.							

<u>Employees</u> – All eight municipalities use municipal employees to deliver some or all of their youth program services. Six of the eight municipalities did perform some variety of background check for their new employees. For example,

• Three municipalities (Amherst, Binghamton, and Seneca Falls) conduct criminal history background checks at the local law enforcement level. In Amherst, the names of new Youth and Recreation Department employees (excluding seasonal employees) are checked against town, county, and some State records by the local Police Department. In Seneca Falls, if an individual (employee) is unknown to the Parks and Recreation Department, the Police Department is contacted to check local records. In Binghamton, the names of new employees (excluding

seasonal employees) are forwarded to the City Police Department, who conducts local level record checks for criminal and New York State-reported sex offenses.

- One municipality, Middletown, uses a combination of records when conducting background checks on newly hired employees that are 18 years or older, finished with high school, and delivering services to youth in municipal programs. Middletown uses an outside vendor's software that includes a check against the Registry, a name-based search of terrorist databases, a social security number verification, and a name-based criminal history multiple state search.
- On an annual basis, Clifton Park submits the names of individuals over 18 years old that are employed by the Town to provide services for youth programs or main contacts for sub-contractors to DCJS to identify matches against the Registry.
- In Utica, the Youth Bureau officials explained that they compare employees' names to the Registry website each year to determine if there are any matches. There is no documentation to prove this process is completed.

Officials at the two municipalities (Manlius and New Rochelle) that did not perform background checks for employees unless it was mandated believed that the application process itself was a deterrent to persons who could jeopardize children's safety. These officials further attributed the lack of background check procedures to limited resources, overall knowledge of the individual, lack of a State requirement, and an individual's employment by a school district.

<u>Volunteers</u> – We found that four of the five municipalities that used volunteers did not check volunteers' criminal history.

 Amherst, Manlius, Seneca Falls and Utica used a total of 130 volunteers to staff youth programs, but did not consistently follow any specific process for ensuring the fitness of these individuals. For example, Seneca Falls officials performed a local criminal history check, but only for applicants they did not know, and Utica officials

-

⁹ A disclaimer on the DCJS website states that the Registry only includes names of moderate-risk (Level 2) and high-risk (Level 3) sex offenders.

- told us they performed a local search of the Registry for all volunteers, but they had no documentation of the searches.
- Binghamton, however, used local law enforcement to check 93 potential Parks and Recreation program volunteers for criminal history and sex offender status. Binghamton's search efforts resulted in the discovery that one of these potential volunteers had a prior sexual offense.

<u>Contracted Workers</u> – Of the six municipalities that hired contracted workers, five did not screen these workers for sexual offenses before they delivered program services.

- Amherst, Binghamton, Manlius, New Rochelle and Seneca Falls used 128 contract workers to staff youth programs, but did not have a process for vetting these workers' criminal history or sex offender status. For example, Amherst relied on the existence of a golf association certification held by contractors hired to oversee the youth golf program as an indicator of the contractor's fitness to deliver services to children; the others relied on their knowledge of the contractor from the community.
- Clifton Park required proof¹⁰ from all contractors that they had submitted their employees' names to DCJS for matching against all levels of sex offenders on the Registry.

Mandated Reference Checks

Background checks are currently required for individuals who have contact with children in schools, camps, childcare programs, and therapeutic programs. State law requires school districts to conduct background checks on individuals who deal with students. The Public Health Law requires operators of children's camps to determine whether camp employees or volunteers are listed on the Registry. This check, which DCJS conducts on names submitted by the municipality, must be completed prior to the day the individual starts work at the camp and annually

 $^{^{10}}$ Town officials indicated that this requirement would be strictly enforced in 2012.

¹¹ The Safe Schools Against Violence in Education (SAVE) legislation (Chapter 180 of the Laws of 2001) and Part 87 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education

¹² The law applies to all children's camps (day, traveling day, and overnight) and to all prospective employees and volunteers at the camp regardless of their job title/responsibility or employment status.

thereafter. Social Service Law requires that criminal histories be reviewed for childcare providers and inquiry made whether individuals who have the potential for regular or substantial contact with children in the childcare program are not on file with the Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment. Finally, the Office of People With Developmental Disabilities (OPWDD) requires that providers of therapeutic programs obtain a criminal background check for all individuals working in the programs with regular or substantial contact with people with developmental disabilities.

Our tests to determine whether the eight municipalities comply with these laws and regulations were mixed. We found that six of the eight municipalities had children's camps that operated under Public Health Law Article 13-B. Of the six, three (Clifton Park, Manlius and New Rochelle) provided documentation proving that, during our scope period, names were submitted and results were returned to the municipality, with a small number of names not submitted in error. Two of the six municipalities (Amherst and Seneca Falls) had partial paperwork available. The remaining one (Middletown) had no documentation. Programs regulated by State Social Service Law and guidance provided by OPWDD were identified in two municipalities (Amherst and New Rochelle). The New York State Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) and OPWDD provided documentation¹³ to the municipalities showing the results of the required checks completed for individuals providing services to the Amherst afterschool program and the New Rochelle therapeutic program, with few minor exceptions.

In light of the lack of consistent background checks at these municipalities, we tested the names of 1,994 individuals identified as providing services to their youth programs to determine if there was a public record¹⁴ documenting either a sex offense or a criminal history for any of them. These individuals included full-

After undergoing an interview process, the names, addresses and social security numbers of individuals hired to work in afterschool childcare programs are sent to OCFS where an applicant is compared to the Child Abuse and Maltreatment Registry and a criminal background check is conducted. OCFS provides documentation of the results. OPWDD provides criminal background check guidance for newly hired individuals working in therapeutic programs. A determination letter, provided by OPWDD, indicates that a criminal background check was conducted and a determination of the results.

¹⁴ Public information available for New York State includes the Registry from the DCJS and state prison records from the Department of Corrections.

and part-time employees, contractors and volunteers. Our testing¹⁵ did not identify any individuals with criminal or sex offender histories. Nonetheless, background checks of all individuals who provide services to youth programs are essential for helping municipalities protect children against unsafe individuals and help protect the municipality against liability from possible legal action.

It is important to emphasize that municipalities should perform annual background checks for all employees, not just new employees. Even veteran employees who have been involved with youth programs for years could potentially pose a risk to children and should not be exempt from background checks.

Municipal officials gave various reasons for their lack of consistently reviewing the background of individuals who have contact with children. These reasons included their knowledge of the individual as a community member and their reliance on an individual's employment by a school district as evidence that the individual's fitness had been reviewed already. They also told us that having to screen a large volume of seasonal employees hired at one time could put a strain on limited municipal resources. Finally, some municipal officials said they do not regularly screen all individuals who work in local youth programs because there is no overall State requirement to do so.

Recommendation

 Municipalities should conduct background checks for all employees, volunteers, and contractors involved in youth programs. Minimally, the background checks should include a comparison to the Registry.

¹⁵ The public records utilized are limited and only electronic files of infractions or situations occurring in other states would be included.

APPENDIX A

RESPONSES FROM LOCAL OFFICIALS

We provided a draft copy of this global report to the eight municipalities we audited and requested responses. We received response letters from seven municipalities. The City of Utica did not submit a response letter during the response period. The municipalities generally agreed with our audit report; however, several municipalities had comments that we respond to within this Appendix.

The following comments were excerpted from the seven responses.

Overall Comments

Amherst officials said: "we appreciate the opportunity to have participated in this audit, which has resulted in a more formalized background-checking process for the department."

Binghamton officials said: "we would like to first thank you for bringing this issue to a larger scale."

Clifton Park officials said: "we believe background checks for individuals who provide services to youth programs are a fundamental measure necessary to protect and safeguard our youngest residents."

Middletown officials said: "this audit was helpful and beneficial for both the residents of the City of Middletown and the City of Middletown Recreation & Parks Department."

Seneca Falls officials said: "providing a safe environment for youth recreation and athletic programs is of the highest priority, the Town applauds the efforts being made at the State level to support this goal."

Lack of State Guidance

Amherst officials said: "if the state truly seeks to increase the number of municipalities conducting background checks, however, it needs to formulate a clear set of guidelines while allowing flexibility in how these guidelines are met."

Binghamton officials said: "it would be most beneficial if we were presented with a straightforward procedure and guideline for the future..." "...we would like to develop or receive a clear-cut procedure for the future mandated by New York State."

Manlius officials said: "it is the Town's hope that if background checks become a mandated process, they don't become another unfunded mandate. The State of New York should provide a cost effective way for all municipalities to submit their recreation staff rosters for national background checks."

OSC Response

In the absence of state legislation or regulation, local government officials should consider the available options for conducting background checks, such as those contained in our audit report, and develop their own procedures to limit liability and ensure the safety of participating children.

Background Check Information

Seneca Falls officials said: "The Town does not take issue with the audit or the recommendation, however there is no discussion of what a municipality should do with the information that it receives as a result of the background check." "...this comment may be beyond the scope of this particular audit and report. However, if your report is to be presented to our state officials to consider requiring background checks, this request for more specific guidance on handling the results of such checks should also be presented."

OSC Response

Guidance that is more specific could prove helpful. However, any central guidance would need to provide flexibility to local governments as they set their own policies and procedures to fit the unique circumstances they encounter, while being mindful of the overarching rule that sex offenders or persons who have significant criminal histories should not be involved in youth programs. When developing such policies and procedures, we recommend that local officials consult with legal counsel to determine how to handle the results of background checks and to limit liability.

APPENDIX B

AUDIT METHODOLOGY AND STANDARDS

At each municipality, we conducted interviews of municipal officials to gain an understanding of the controls in place for the screening process of individuals involved in youth programs and to determine if the background checks are part of the process. We also reviewed the policies and procedures relevant to conducting background checks, if available, at each municipality. Youth program records, background investigation reports, and employee records were reviewed to identify names for testing.

We reviewed available municipal youth program brochures that identified youth programs to the community. The types of individuals providing services for each program were identified by brochures and municipality records. For each municipality, we compiled a list of all individuals that provided services to children on behalf of the municipality, if the individuals could be identified. We reviewed personnel file documents to locate background check information. For municipal programs that have mandated reference checks, we reviewed the documentation available at the municipalities, proving that the required reference checks were completed. We then compiled the individual names into a list of those that did not have a completed background check documented and tested these names using software¹⁶ that accesses public records. We performed analysis and used a software tool to determine if the individual has either a criminal history or a registered sex offense.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

¹⁶ The software accesses only public records reported in electronic format.

APPENDIX C

PROCESSES FOR BACKGROUND CHECKS

New York State Sex Offender Registry (Registry) – Department of Criminal Justice Services (http://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/nsor/)

As required by the Sex Offender Registration Act,¹⁷ upon release to the community following a conviction for a registerable offense, the sex offender is required to register with the Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS). A risk level is assigned based on the likelihood that a repeat or similar offense will occur. The risk levels include:

- Pending (awaiting a risk level assignment)
- Level 1 (low risk of repeat offense)
- Level 2 (moderate risk of repeat offense)
- Level 3 (high risk of repeat offense and a threat to public safety exists).

DCJS tracks each sex offender registration through the Registry. The Registry contains publically available information on sex offenders who have been incarcerated and/or are on parole or probation for a sex offense committed since January 21, 1996. Results may include: full name, address, date of birth, sex, age, race, height, weight, hair, eyes, risk level, offender type and offense, sentence, and photo. The majority of records range from 1996 to present and are generally updated monthly. DCJS provides varying degrees of access to this information, as follows:

NYS Sex Offender Registry - DCJS

DCJS will provide municipal officials information about all levels of sex offenders when contacted by telephone, mail, email or fax.

NYS Sex Offender Registry - Local

Local law enforcement agencies in the communities where offenders live or go to school can release information to 'entities with vulnerable populations,' which could include a school, nursing home or day care center.

Those law enforcement agencies can release the same information about offenders that is available by directly contacting DCJS by telephone, mail, email or fax.

¹⁷ Effective January 21, 1996

• NYS Sex Offender Registry - Website

Information accessible to the public is maintained on the DCJS website. The publically accessible database only includes moderate risk (Level 2) and high-risk (Level 3) offenders, as required by law. A disclaimer printed on the website alerts users that, due to pending litigation, some Level 2 and Level 3 offenders are omitted from the public website.

Criminal History Background Check

• Fingerprint-based Criminal History - State and Federal

DCJS performs fingerprint-based background checks for employment and licensing purposes. A municipality may request a background check for an applicant for employment or licensing based upon a Federal, State, or local statute that authorizes submission of fingerprints to DCJS. A municipality may also be authorized to have the fingerprints submitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) for a federal fingerprint-based background check if a State law authorizes such access.

An FBI Identification Record is a listing of certain information taken from fingerprint submissions retained by the FBI in connection with arrests and, in some instances, Federal employment, naturalization, or military service. If the fingerprints are related to an arrest, the Identification Record includes the name of the agency that submitted the fingerprints to the FBI, the date of the arrest, the arrest charge, and the disposition of the arrest, if known to the FBI. All arrest data included in an Identification Record is obtained from fingerprint submissions, disposition reports, and other information submitted by agencies having criminal justice responsibilities.

Name-based Criminal History - Local

With the consent of the individual, the individual's name and identifying information is provided to the local law enforcement agency in the municipality. The local law enforcement agency then conducts a background check on the individual using various databases, with information from a town level, city level, county level and at times New York State level, if the individual has an offense at the county.

• Name-based Criminal History - Multi-State

The Criminal Super Search is an instant multi-state criminal search that covers a majority of the population across the United States. Using data from hundreds of sources, results are returned from a variety of administrative offices of the court, departments of correction, publically available sex offender registries, and other entities. Note: Connecticut data is available separately.

APPENDIX D

EMPLOYEES, VOLUNTEERS AND CONTRACTORS BY MUNICIPALITY

Relevant Unit Statistics				
Municipality	Youth Participants in Programs (approx) ^a	Employees in Youth Programs ^b	Volunteers in Youth Programs ^{b, c}	Contractors in Youth Programs ^{b, c}
Town of Amherst	50,000	447	4	3
City of Binghamton	6,400	265	93	2
Town of Clifton Park	7,000	242	0	18
Town of Manlius	4,200	120	2	30
City of Middletown	2,400	212	0	0
City of New Rochelle	2,000	82	0	55
Town of Seneca Falls	3,300	97	45	38
City of Utica	3,700	212	79	0
Totals ^d	79,000	1,677	223	146

^a Numbers are for the scope period January 1, 2010 to May 18, 2012.

19

^b Numbers in chart for employees, volunteers, and contractors were totaled, counting an individual name only one time during the scope period.

^c Approximate numbers used for volunteers and contractors, as records at some municipality were unavailable.

^d Fewer number of names were tested during audit than totals listed in table because existing documentation at municipality was used.

APPENDIX E

HOW TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE REPORT

To obtain copies of this report, write or visit our web page:

Office of the State Comptroller Public Information Office 110 State Street, 15th Floor Albany, New York 12236 (518) 474-4015 http://www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/

APPENDIX F OFFICE OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY

Steven J. Hancox, Deputy Comptroller Nathaalie N. Carey, Assistant Comptroller

LOCAL REGIONAL OFFICE LISTING

BINGHAMTON REGIONAL OFFICE

H. Todd Eames, Chief Examiner
Office of the State Comptroller
State Office Building - Suite 1702
44 Hawley Street
Binghamton, New York 13901-4417
(607) 721-8306 Fax (607) 721-8313
Email: Muni-Binghamton@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Broome, Chenango, Cortland, Delaware, Otsego, Schoharie, Sullivan, Tioga, Tompkins Counties

BUFFALO REGIONAL OFFICE

Robert Meller, Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller 295 Main Street, Suite 1032 Buffalo, New York 14203-2510 (716) 847-3647 Fax (716) 847-3643 Email: Muni-Buffalo@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Allegany, Cattaraugus, Chautauqua, Erie, Genesee, Niagara, Orleans, Wyoming Counties

GLENS FALLS REGIONAL OFFICE

Jeffrey P. Leonard, Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller One Broad Street Plaza Glens Falls, New York 12801-4396 (518) 793-0057 Fax (518) 793-5797 Email: Muni-GlensFalls@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Albany, Clinton, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Hamilton, Montgomery, Rensselaer, Saratoga, Schenectady, Warren, Washington Counties

HAUPPAUGE REGIONAL OFFICE

Ira McCracken, Chief Examiner
Office of the State Comptroller
NYS Office Building, Room 3A10
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788-5533
(631) 952-6534 Fax (631) 952-6530
Email: Muni-Hauppauge@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Nassau and Suffolk Counties

NEWBURGH REGIONAL OFFICE

Christopher Ellis, Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller 33 Airport Center Drive, Suite 103 New Windsor, New York 12553-4725 (845) 567-0858 Fax (845) 567-0080 Email: Muni-Newburgh@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Columbia, Dutchess, Greene, Orange, Putnam, Rockland, Ulster, Westchester Counties

ROCHESTER REGIONAL OFFICE

Edward V. Grant, Jr., Chief Examiner Office of the State Comptroller The Powers Building 16 West Main Street – Suite 522 Rochester, New York 14614-1608 (585) 454-2460 Fax (585) 454-3545 Email: Muni-Rochester@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Cayuga, Chemung, Livingston, Monroe, Ontario, Schuyler, Seneca, Steuben, Wayne, Yates Counties

SYRACUSE REGIONAL OFFICE

Rebecca Wilcox, Chief Examiner
Office of the State Comptroller
State Office Building, Room 409
333 E. Washington Street
Syracuse, New York 13202-1428
(315) 428-4192 Fax (315) 426-2119
Email: Muni-Syracuse@osc.state.ny.us

Serving: Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Madison, Oneida, Onondaga, Oswego, St. Lawrence Counties

STATEWIDE AND REGIONAL PROJECTS

Ann C. Singer, Chief Examiner State Office Building - Suite 1702 44 Hawley Street Binghamton, New York 13901-4417 (607) 721-8306 Fax (607) 721-8313



THOMAS P. DINAPOLI COMPTROLLER

STATE OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER

110 STATE STREET ALBANY, NEW YORK 12236 STEVEN J. HANCOX
DEPUTY COMPTROLLER
DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT
AND SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY
Tel: (518) 474-4037 Fax: (518) 486-6479

January 24, 2013

Mayor Matthew T. Ryan, Members of the City Council City of Binghamton 38 Hawley Street Binghamton, NY 13901

Report Number: S9-12-12

Dear Mayor Ryan and Members of the City Council:

A top priority of the Office of the State Comptroller is to help officials manage their resources efficiently and effectively and, by so doing, provide accountability for tax dollars spent to support operations. The Comptroller oversees the fiscal affairs of local governments statewide, as well as compliance with relevant statutes and observance of good business practices. This fiscal oversight is accomplished, in part, through our audits, which identify opportunities for improving operations and City Council governance. Audits also can identify strategies to reduce costs and to strengthen controls intended to safeguard assets.

In accordance with these goals, we conducted an audit of eight units comprising four cities and four towns throughout New York State. The objective of our audit was to determine if these units have conducted background checks for individuals involved in the municipalities' youth programs. We included the City of Binghamton (City) in this audit. Within the scope of this audit, we examined the policies and procedures of the City. We also examined various records including employment records, youth program documentation, and third-party contracts for the period January 1, 2010 to April 27, 2012.

This report of examination letter contains our findings and recommendations specific to the City of Binghamton. We discussed the findings and recommendations with City officials and considered their comments, which appear in Appendix A, in preparing this report. City officials generally agreed with our findings and recommendations and indicated they planned to initiate corrective action. Appendix B includes our comments on issues raised in the City's response. At the completion of our audit of the eight units, we prepared a global report that summarizes the significant issues we identified at all the units audited.

Summary of Findings

The City has not adopted a policy for background screening of employees, contractors and volunteers who provide services to the City's youth programs, but does have an unwritten screening process in place for all except seasonal employees and contractors. The City did not perform background checks for the 115 and 99 summer employees, in 2010 and 2011 respectively, many of whom were involved in programs dealing directly with the City's youth.

The City has not implemented an entity-wide monitoring system of the background checking process to ensure that individuals involved in any of the City's youth programs are free of criminal records. We were unable to rely on the background check documentation that was conducted on the volunteers used in programs for further testing, as the records provided were not permanent.² However, the process was verified as being in place through interviews with separate department personnel. We tested 356 names of individuals providing service to the programs to determine if there were any public records documenting either a sex offense or criminal history. The service providers included full- and part-time City employees, contractors, and volunteers. Our tests of the names disclosed no findings.

Even though the law does not mandate that municipalities perform background checks on all individuals who provide services for youth programs, such screenings – whether for sex offenses, criminal history, or both – are essential to safeguard the participants in those programs, and can help reduce the municipality's potential liability in the event of legal action.

Background and Methodology

The City of Binghamton is located in Broome County, with a population of approximately 47,000 people. The City's youth programs provide services to its residents. The City's 2010 and 2011 actual expenditures for youth programs were approximately \$340,000 and \$325,000 respectively. Budgeted youth program expenditures for 2012 are approximately \$355,000.

The City is governed by the City Council, which comprises seven elected members. The City Council is responsible for the general management and oversight of the City's financial and operational affairs. These responsibilities include setting policies and establishing effective controls over operations. The chief executive officer is the Mayor. The City offers a multitude of youth programs through its Parks and Recreation Department and Youth Bureau. The Director of the Parks and Recreation Department and the Director of the Youth Bureau are responsible for organizing the programs offered to the community, which includes screening prospective staff and contracted individuals needed for specialty services. There were about 6,400 participants enrolled in approximately 29 City youth programs during our scope period.

Youth programs encompass a wide variety of activities and are offered as a response to community interest. These include contracts, made through the Youth Bureau, to provide employment and literacy programs. Programs offered through the Parks and Recreation Department include skills training for a variety of sports, safety programs, and swim programs. The Parks and Recreation Department, in conjunction with community organizations, operates a

-

¹ Summer employees over age 18 as of June 1, 2010, and June 1, 2011, respectively

² The volunteer's background check results were documented by the City using a post-it note on the consent form. There was no indication on the post-it notes, whom the information was associated with, other than it was stuck to the consent form.

variety of sports leagues throughout the year. With these youth programs, parents are entrusting their children's learning experience and safety to the adults (full- or part-time employees, contractors, or volunteers) that the City engages to administer the programs. A municipality can help create a safe environment for community youth through background checks in the hiring and screening of all individuals associated with the municipality's youth programs. During the employee hiring process, two types of background checks can be conducted and documented: a criminal history background check, which is done with the consent of the individual, and a search of the New York State Sex Offender Registry, which is public information.

New Federal legislation that has been introduced but not yet enacted, the Child Protection Improvements Act of 2011,³ focuses on several aspects of criminal history investigations for child service organizations. However, while on the State level the Education Department has requirements⁴ for school districts for background checks on individuals dealing with students, there is no one law or regulation that provides overall guidance for youth programs found in municipalities. Instead, depending on the type of program offered, specific legislation guides the level of background check screening required. For instance, Article 13-B of Public Health Law requires children's camp⁵ operators to determine whether an employee or volunteer is listed on the New York State Sex Offender Registry. This check, which DCJS conducts on names submitted by the City, must be completed prior to the day the individual starts work at the camp and annually thereafter. Additionally, national youth sports groups recognize the need to provide general guidance for youth program administration, including a criminal history background check for all volunteers.

To complete our objective, we interviewed City officials and staff, and reviewed policies and procedures to identify the controls established. We reviewed supporting documentation of the hiring process to determine if there was background checks completed prior to hiring. We also tested individual names against public records to determine if the safety of the youth participating in programs was jeopardized.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). More information on such standards and the methodology used in performing this audit is included in Appendix C of this report.

Audit Results

The City has not adopted a policy for background screening of employees, volunteers or contractors who provide services to the City's youth programs, but does have an unwritten

_

³ The Child Protection Improvements Act of 2011 would amend the National Child Protection Act of 1993 to direct the Attorney General to: (1) establish policies and procedures for a program for national criminal history background checks for child-serving organizations, (2) assist such organizations in obtaining access to nationwide background checks, (3) establish procedures for ensuring the accuracy of criminal history records, (4) identify individuals convicted of serious misdemeanors or felonies involving children, and (5) collect demographic data relating to individuals and organizations covered by this Act and make reports to Congress on such data. The 2011 proposed legislation limits the liability of a child-serving organization for failure to conduct criminal background checks or to take adverse action against employees with a criminal history; imposes limitations on the disclosure or use of criminal history records; and amends the PROTECT Act [of 2004] to extend the Child Safety Pilot Program.

⁴ Part 87 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education and the Safe Schools Against Violence in Education

⁴ Part 87 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education and the Safe Schools Against Violence in Education (SAVE) legislation (Chapter 180 of the Laws of 2001)

The law applies to all children's camps (day, traveling day, and overnight) and to all prospective employees and volunteers at the camp regardless of their job title/responsibility or employment status.

screening process in place for all individuals, except seasonal employees and contractors. Concurrently its Civil Service Department implemented an unwritten process that conducts a county level criminal history and New York State Sex Offender Registry background check on all new full- and permanent part-time employees over 18 years old. This process does not include those summer and other seasonal part-time employees providing services to City youth programs. For regular employees, the City uses its employment application and a consent and release form, which contains a statement about conducting investigations and includes a consent statement from the individual to allow it. However, City officials told us that the background checks and investigations for individuals in the summer youth programs, whether full- or part-time, are not required for employment.

The Parks and Recreation Department hires seasonal help after the completion of an application, interview, and reference checks. The Parks and Recreation Department bases its hiring, in part, on being familiar with individuals whom the City employs from year to year, referrals from known community residents, and knowledge of individuals with school district affiliations. The City does use individuals with school district affiliations in both Parks and Recreation Department and Youth Bureau programs. The Parks and Recreation Department requests and keeps on file the New York State Education Department fingerprint clearance for employment documentation for each teacher, while the Youth Bureau does not.

In addition, the City uses volunteers as coaches for their various sports leagues. These coaches often have a relative on the team that they coach while other volunteers serve year after year. The Parks and Recreation Department management indicated that a background screening process has been used for volunteer coaches since prior to 2010. The process was described as using the City Police Department to conduct county level criminal history background and New York State Sex Offender Registry checks on volunteer coaches that are new to the program. In the fall of 2011, the Parks and Recreation Department updated the process to include conducting background checks on all youth program volunteer coaches each season. A similar acknowledgement and consent form, as used for hiring City employees, was used with the volunteer coaching application.

The Youth Bureau was the only department identified as using contractors in City youth programs. There is no documented or written background screening process for contractors who work directly in the City's youth programs. The Youth Bureau used two contractors in its summer literacy program and the Parks and Recreation Department did not use any. The City does not require a background check for these contractors, and therefore has no process to monitor these checks.

There were no new full- or part-time (non-seasonal) youth program employees hired during our scope period that would have been selected to test for proof of background documentation kept in the City records. However, City officials stated that summer seasonal youth program workers did not have background checks conducted. In 2010 and 2011, there were 115 and 99 summer workers, 6 respectively. We found no background check documentation in the City records for these individuals.

Additionally, the Park and Recreation Department does not have a monitoring process to ensure that all individual names are submitted to the Police Department to have the names checked prior

_

⁶ Summer employees over age 18 as of June 1, 2010, and June 1, 2011, respectively

to the first day of employment. We identified 93 names of volunteers who coached during our audit scope period. Of the 93, the City could not provide background check documentation for 41; 30 were in the process of being checked; and 22 had an individual consent form with post-it notes describing the screening results. However, the post-it notes showing results were not permanently attached to the documentation and did not have any identifying information (name), or the date and name of the person who conducted the check. We were unable to rely on the background check documentation conducted on the volunteers used in youth programs for further testing, as the records provided were not permanent⁷ or entirely available. However, the process was verified as being in place through interviews with separate department personnel. The updated process used by the Park and Recreation Department to test all volunteers each season has resulted in one volunteer's background check results coming back with a prior sexual offense, showing that the City's process does work as intended.

We also tested 356 names of individuals providing service to the youth programs to determine if there was a public record⁸ documenting either a sex offense or a criminal history. These individuals included full- and part-time City employees, contracts and volunteers. Our tests of the names disclosed no findings. Nonetheless, background checks of all individuals who provide services to the City's youth programs are not only in the City's best interest in protecting its children against unsafe individuals, but can also help protect the City against liability from possible legal action.

Recommendations

- 1. The City Council and City officials should develop and implement written policies and procedures for a background check of all individuals who are providing, or are expected to provide, services for youth programs.
- 2. City officials should institute a monitoring process to ensure that background checks are performed for all individuals that provide services for youth programs.

The City Council has the responsibility to initiate corrective action. A written corrective action plan (CAP) that addresses the findings and recommendations in this report should be prepared and forwarded to our office within 90 days, pursuant to Section 35 of the General Municipal Law. For more information on preparing and filing your CAP, please refer to our brochure, *Responding to an OSC Audit Report*, which you received with the draft audit report. We encourage the City Council to make this plan available for public review in the Clerk's office.

⁷ The volunteer's background check results were documented by the City using a post-it note on the consent form. There was no indication on the post-it notes whom the information was associated with, other than it was stuck to the consent form.

⁸ Public information available for New York State includes the Sex Offender Registry from the DCJS and state prison records from the Department of Corrections.

Our office is available to assist you upon request. If you have any further questions, please contact Ann Singer, Chief of Statewide Audits, at (607) 721-8306.

Sincerely,

Steven J. Hancox

Deputy Comptroller

Office of the State Comptroller Division of Local Government

and School Accountability

Stief The

APPENDIX A

RESPONSE FROM CITY OFFICIALS

The City officials' response to this audit can be found on the following pages.



Department of Parks & Recreation

Mayor, Matthew T. Ryan Director, John C. Whalen Assistant Director, Carol A. Quinlivan

Ms. Ann Singer State of New York Office of the Comptroller Albany, New York 12236 July 10, 2012

Dear Ms. Singer:

After reviewing your Summary of Findings in regard to the City of Binghamton Youth Program Background Check Screening Process Audit, we would like to make note on some of the following statements.

Although we have not implemented an entity-wide monitoring system for background checks we have followed a procedure set from within our confines of our own department. Since I have been overseeing all of our youth programs, October 2011, we have had our Police Department run a background checks on every volunteer coach that we have secured into a volunteer coaching position. With the little information we were able to acquire, we dismissed two coaches. That refers to Pee-Wee Football that was held in October of 2011.

Beginning in November of 2011, we began the process of securing Little Binghamton League Volunteer Coaches, Greenman League Coaches and Girls Pee Wee and Junior Softball Coaches for our Youth Baseball Programs via several off site meetings. This process had not occurred in the past to this extent. By Season start up, we had all 2012 Volunteer Coaches listed and background checks were done, again by the Binghamton Police Department. This process resulted in dismissing 3 coaches.

Because some of the background checks were completed prior to the season year in which they signed the actual application, this caused some confusion for the auditors and then we believe they were credited to the wrong year and therefore actual names of coaches were not counted according by the year and/or season of the program. This resulted in leaving some unaccounted for in the final totals.

See Note 1 Page 11

For the first time in many seasons, all volunteer coaches involved in any of our youth programs since 2011 have all been background checked.

As of this year's current summer hiring, we have installed a plan which all summer/seasonal hires will now have the background run through our Binghamton Police Department. Currently we have released one potential summer hire based on the findings of their background check. A retention plan too has been established to ensure we have these background checks on hand for any future needs.



Department of Parks & Recreation

Mayor, Matthew T. Ryan Director, John C. Whalen Assistant Director, Carol A. Quinlivan

It would be most beneficial if we were presented with a straightforward procedure and guideline for the future. As we move forward and strengthen our programs and detail our background checks what we would find helpful is a procedure that spells things out, without question. We have run into some issues through the background check process, which are not really related to any hindrance with the job or working with children. This has taken up much time just to decide which avenue we take based on incident.

See Note 2 Page 11

The City of Binghamton has taken this matter seriously prior to this audit. However this audit process has shown us what could be done to improve this procedure. We have partnered with the Personnel Department and the Binghamton Police Department to much improve on our current process. We send all background checks applications to the Police Department first where they no longer place a post-it note to show the arrest but now they are manually typed on each individual application. Once they are completed by the Police Department they are forwarded onto the Personnel Department for review and discussion. As mentioned above, we would like to develop or receive a clear-cut procedure for the future mandated by New York State.

See Note 2 Page 11

The City of Binghamton Parks & Recreation Department is dedicated to ensuring the safety of all children and young adults not only through its background checking process but also in the way our programs are monitored. We strive every year to improve on all of the aspects of every program. We struggle with our financial restraints wishing we could take our background checks to a higher level to include a fingerprinting process; however, again the cost factor is too great.

Given that all our season coaches are on a volunteer basis, we realize how difficult it is to find these people year after year. Placing the fee onto the volunteers themselves is not an option. We would request that the State look into increasing dollars to include such procedures.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE:

Unit Name:

City of Binghamton, Parks & Recreation

Audit Report Title:

Youth Program Background Check Screening

Audit Report Number:

S9-12-12

Audit Recommendation:

- The City Council and City officials should develop and implement written policies and procedures for a background check of all individuals who are providing, or are expected to provide, services for youth programs
- City officials should institute a monitoring process to ensure that background checks are performed for all individuals that provide service for youth programs.



Department of Parks & Recreation

Mayor, Matthew T. Ryan Director, John C. Whalen Assistant Director, Carol A. Quinlivan

Implementation Plan of Action:

- Met with City Council on Monday July 2, 2012. All agreed that a more extensive in plan would be implemented as soon as we have the final audit report.
- 2. Met with Personnel Department in June 2012 and have developed a new procedure and retention plan. All mentioned in the above document.
- 3. Met with Police Department Assistant Chief in June 2012, changed our actual background check application to mirror an employment check vs. a volunteer coach application.
- 4. No longer will post-it-notes be part of the documenting process of any infractions. They will now be typed listed at the bottom of each application.
- 5. All applications will now be on file in the Personnel Department.

Implementation Date:

All of the actions listed above have been put in place as of June 2012. Further actions will be set in place at the time of the final report and future meetings with City Council.

Person or Persons Responsible for Implementation:

Director of Parks & Recreation, John C. Whalen

Assistant Director of Parks & Recreation, Carol Quinlivan

Director of Personnel, Trish Keppler

Binghamton City Council:

Jerry Motsavage District 1 Joseph Mihalko District 2

Teri Rennia District 3 Lea Webb District 4 Chris Papastrat District 5 John Matzo District 6 Bill Berg District 7

Signed:

Carol Quinlivan,

Assistant Director of Parks & Recreation

July 10, 2012

APPENDIX B

OSC COMMENTS ON THE CITY'S RESPONSE

Note 1

Our numbers are correct. We reviewed all coaching applications provided by the City for 2010, 2011 and part of 2012. As reported, 41 applications did not have documentation that City officials conducted a background check in any portion of the period audited, and pre-2010 checks would not change the conclusion.

Note 2

In the absence of State legislation or regulation, local government officials should consider the available options for conducting background checks, such as those contained in our audit report, and develop their own procedures to limit liability and ensure the safety of participating children.

APPENDIX C

AUDIT METHODOLOGY AND STANDARDS

We reviewed the City's policies and procedures to gain an understanding of the controls in place for the screening process of individuals involved in youth programs and to determine if the background checks are part of the process. Youth program records, background investigation reports, and employee records were reviewed to identify names for testing.

We reviewed available records that identified youth programs offered; the types of individuals providing services for each program, and personnel file documents for Town employees, looking for background check documentation. We listed all individuals by youth program, if the individuals could be identified. We then compiled the individual names into a list of those that did not have a completed background check documented. We then tested all identified names using software that accesses public records to determine if the individual has either a criminal history or a registered sex offense.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

_

⁹ The software accesses public records only if the records are reported in electronic format.